arXiv:1511.06824v2 [math.NT] 24 Nov 2015

Zero-density estimates for Epstein zeta functions *

Steven Gonek and Yoonbok Lee

November 25, 2015

Abstract

We investigate the zeros of Epstein zeta functions associated with a positive definite quadratic form with rational coefficients in the vertical strip $\sigma_1 < \Re s < \sigma_2$, where $1/2 < \sigma_1 < \sigma_2 < 1$. When the class number of the quadratic form is bigger than 1, Voronin gives a lower bound and Lee gives an asymptotic formula for the number of zeros. In this paper, we improve their results by providing a new upper bound for the error term.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). *11M26, 11M41.*

1 Introduction and statement of results

Let $Q(m,n) = am^2 + bmn + cn^2$ be a positive definite quadratic form with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $D = b^2 - 4ac < 0$. Let $s = \sigma + it$ be a complex variable. The Epstein zeta function associated with Q is defined by

$$E(s,Q) = \sum_{m,n}{}' \frac{1}{Q(m,n)^s}$$

for $\sigma > 1$, where the sum is over all integers m, n not both zero. It has a meromorphic continuation to $\mathbb{C}$ with a simple pole at $s = 1$ and it satisfies the functional equation

$$\Psi(s,Q) = \Psi(1-s,Q), \tag{1.1}$$

where

$$\Psi(s,Q) := \left(\frac{\sqrt{-D}}{2\pi}\right)^s \Gamma(s)E(s,Q).$$

In this paper we study the distribution of the zeros of $E(s,Q)$ in the right half of the critical strip, $1/2 < \sigma < 1$. This distribution is different depending on whether the class number $h(D)$ of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ is 1 or is greater than 1. If $h(D) = 1$, then

$$E(s,Q) = w_D\zeta_K(s),$$

*Research of the first author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1200582. Research of the second author was supported by the Incheon National University Research Grant in 2014.

where w_D is the number of roots of unity in $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ and ζ_K is the Dedekind zeta function of K. Hence, in this case we expect $E(s, Q)$ to satisfy the analogue of the Riemann hypothesis. However, if $h(D) > 1$, Davenport and Heilbronn [2] proved that $E(s, Q)$ has infinitely many zeros on $\sigma > 1$. For $1/2 < \sigma_1 < \sigma_2$ set

$$N_E(\sigma_1, \sigma_2; T) = \sum_{\substack{T \le \gamma \le 2T \\ \sigma_1 < \beta \le \sigma_2}} 1,$$

where $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ denotes a generic zero of $E(s, Q)$. Voronin [11] proved the following theorem.

Theorem A (Voronin)**.** *Let Q be a quadratic form with integer coefficients whose discriminant is $D < 0$. Suppose that the class number $h(D)$ is greater than 1. Then for any σ_1 and σ_2 with $1/2 < \sigma_1 < \sigma_2 < 1$,*

$$N_E(\sigma_1, \sigma_2; T) \ge cT,$$

where $c = c(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, Q) > 0$ is independent of T.

Recently, the second author [7] improved this to an asymptotic formula.

Theorem B (Lee)**.** *Assume the same hypothesis as in Theorem A. Then for $1/2 < \sigma_1 < \sigma_2$ we have*

$$N_E(\sigma_1, \sigma_2; T) = cT + o(T),$$

where $c = c(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, Q) \ge 0$. If $\sigma_1 \le 1$, then $c > 0$.

Our main theorem provides an improvement of the error term.

Theorem 1. *Assume the same hypothesis as in Theorem A. If $1/2 < \sigma_1 < \sigma_2$, then there exists an absolute constant $b > 0$ such that*

$$N_E(\sigma_1, \sigma_2; T) = cT + O(T \exp(-b\sqrt{\log\log T})),$$

where $c = c(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, Q) \ge 0$. If $\sigma_1 \le 1$, then $c > 0$.

The proofs of the above theorems begin with the well-known identity

$$E(s, Q) = \frac{w_D}{h(D)} \sum_{\chi} \overline{\chi(\mathfrak{a}_Q)} L(s, \chi), \tag{1.2}$$

where the sum is over all characters of the class group, $\mathfrak{a}_Q$ is any integer ideal in the ideal class corresponding to the equivalence class of Q, and $L(s, \chi)$ is the Hecke L-function defined by

$$L(s, \chi) = \sum_{\mathfrak{n}} \frac{\chi(\mathfrak{n})}{\mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{n})^s} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \left(1 - \frac{\chi(\mathfrak{p})}{\mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{p})^s}\right)^{-1}$$

for $\sigma > 1$. Here $\mathfrak{N}$ is the norm. Each Hecke L-function has a meromorphic continuation to $\mathbb{C}$, and it has a simple pole at $s = 1$ only when the character χ is trivial. It also satisfies the functional equation (1.1) except that this time

$$\Psi(s, \chi) := \left(\frac{\sqrt{-D}}{2\pi}\right)^s \Gamma(s) L(s, \chi).$$

The L-functions in the sum (1.2) are not distinct. For each rational prime p, a principal ideal (p) is a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ or a product of two prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}_1\mathfrak{p}_2$. If $(p) = \mathfrak{p}$, then $\chi(\mathfrak{p}) = 1$ and

$$\prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p}\left(1 - \frac{\chi(\mathfrak{p})}{\mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{p})^s}\right)^{-1} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{2s}}\right)^{-1}.$$

If $(p) = \mathfrak{p}_1\mathfrak{p}_2$, then $\chi(\mathfrak{p}_1)\chi(\mathfrak{p}_2) = 1$. Thus $\chi(\mathfrak{p}_1) = \overline{\chi(\mathfrak{p}_2)}$ and

$$\prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p}\left(1 - \frac{\chi(\mathfrak{p})}{\mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{p})^s}\right)^{-1} = \left(1 - \frac{\chi(\mathfrak{p}_1) + \chi(\mathfrak{p}_2)}{p^s} + \frac{1}{p^{2s}}\right)^{-1} = \left(1 - \frac{2\Re\chi(\mathfrak{p}_1)}{p^s} + \frac{1}{p^{2s}}\right)^{-1}.$$

It follows that $L(s,\chi) = L(s,\overline{\chi})$. We now let J be the number of real characters plus one-half the number of complex characters, and list the characters as $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_J$ in such a way that $\chi_j \neq \chi_k$ and $\chi_j \neq \overline{\chi}_k$ for $j \neq k$. Then, writing

$$L_j(s) = L(s, \chi_j),$$

we may rewrite (1.2) as

$$E(s,Q) = \sum_{j=1}^{J} c_j L_j(s), \tag{1.3}$$

where

$$c_j = \frac{w_D}{h(D)}\chi_j(\mathfrak{a}_Q)$$

for real characters χ_j, and

$$c_j = \frac{w_D}{h(D)}2\Re\chi_j(\mathfrak{a}_Q)$$

for complex characters χ_j. Note that $J > 1$ if and only if $h(D) > 1$.

Voronin [11] deduced Theorem 1 from a joint distribution result for the inequivalent Hecke L-functions $L_1(s), \dots, L_J(s)$ in (1.3). On the other hand, Lee's proof of Theorem 1 in [7] proceeded via a study of the Jensen function

$$\varphi(\sigma) = \lim_{T\to\infty}\frac{1}{T}\int_1^T \log|E(\sigma + it, Q)|dt.$$

Lee showed that $\varphi(\sigma)$ is twice differentiable and that the density of zeros of $E(s)$ in the strip $\sigma_1 < \sigma < \sigma_2$ equals

$$\varphi'(\sigma_2) - \varphi'(\sigma_1) = \int_{\sigma_1}^{\sigma_2}\varphi''(\sigma)d\sigma.$$

Our proof of Theorem 1 also proceeds through the estimation of the integral

$$\frac{1}{T}\int_T^{2T}\log|E(\sigma+it,Q)|dt = \frac{1}{T}\int_T^{2T}\log\left|\sum_{j\le J}c_jL_j(\sigma+it)\right|dt$$

but, in addition, incorporates recent ideas of Lamzouri, Lester, and Radziwiłł [8] in their study of the distribution of a-points of the Riemann zeta function.

It is worth noting that when $J = 2$,

$$\frac{1}{T}\int_T^{2T} \log|E(\sigma+it,Q)|dt = \frac{1}{T}\int_T^{2T} \log|c_1L_1(\sigma+it)|dt + \frac{1}{T}\int_T^{2T} \log\left|\frac{c_2L_2(\sigma+it)}{c_1L_1(\sigma+it)}+1\right| dt.$$

It is not difficult to show that the first term here equals $\log|c_1|$ plus a small error term. We can also estimate the second term by a straightforward adaptation of the method in [8]. However, when $J > 2$ this approach no longer works. In what follows, therefore, we are mostly interested in the case $J \geq 3$.

Corresponding to the Hecke L-functions

$$L_j(s) = L(s,\chi_j) = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \left(1 - \frac{\chi_j(\mathfrak{p})}{\mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{p})^s}\right)^{-1} \qquad (1 \leq j \leq J)$$

we define the random models

$$L_j(\sigma,X) := \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \left(1 - \frac{\chi_j(\mathfrak{p})X(p)}{\mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{p})^\sigma}\right)^{-1} \qquad (1 \leq j \leq J),$$

where p is the unique rational prime dividing $\mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{p})$, and the $X(p)$ are uniformly and independently distributed on the unit circle $\mathbb{T}$. Note that these products converge almost surely on $\mathbb{T}^\infty$ for $\sigma > 1/2$. We define

$$\log L_j(\sigma,X) := \sum_{\mathfrak{p}}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi_j(\mathfrak{p}^k)\,X(p)^k}{k\,\mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{p})^{k\sigma}}$$

and define $\log|L_j(\sigma,X)|$ and $\arg L_j(\sigma,X)$ as its real and imaginary parts, respectively. These too converge almost surely on $\mathbb{T}^\infty$ for $\sigma > 1/2$.

Let

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{L}(s) := \big(&\log|c_1L_1/c_JL_J(s)|,\dots,\log|c_{J-1}L_{J-1}/c_JL_J(s)|,\log|c_JL_J(s)|;\\ &\arg c_1L_1(s) - \arg c_JL_J(s),\dots,\arg c_{J-1}L_{J-1}(s) - \arg c_JL_J(s),\arg c_JL_J(s)\big)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{L}(\sigma,X) := \big(&\log|c_1L_1/c_JL_J(\sigma,X)|,\dots,\log|c_{J-1}L_{J-1}/c_JL_J(\sigma,X)|,\log|c_JL_J(\sigma,X)|;\\ &\arg c_1L_1(\sigma,X) - \arg c_JL_J(\sigma,X),\dots,\arg c_{J-1}L_{J-1}(\sigma,X) - \arg c_JL_J(\sigma,X),\\ &\arg c_JL_J(\sigma,X)\big).\end{aligned}$$

For a Borel set $\mathscr{B}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2J}$ and for $1/2 < \sigma < 1$ fixed, we define

$$\Psi_T(\mathscr{B}) := \frac{1}{T}\mathrm{meas}\{t \in [T,2T] : \mathbf{L}(s) \in \mathscr{B}\} \tag{1.4}$$

and

$$\Psi(\mathscr{B}) := \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{L}(\sigma,X) \in \mathscr{B}) = \mathrm{meas}\{X \in \mathbb{T}^\infty : \mathbf{L}(\sigma,X) \in \mathscr{B}\}. \tag{1.5}$$

We define the *discrepancy* between these two distributions as

$$\mathbf{D}_T(\mathscr{B}) := \Psi_T(\mathscr{B}) - \Psi(\mathscr{B}).$$

The key ingredient in our proof of Theorem 1 is the following bound for $\mathbf{D}_T(\mathscr{B})$, which is an analogue of Theorem 1.1 of [8] and is interesting in its own right.

Theorem 2. *Let $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1$ be fixed. Then*

$$\sup_{\mathscr{R}} |\mathbf{D}_T(\mathscr{R})| \ll \frac{1}{(\log T)^{\sigma}},$$

where $\mathscr{R}$ runs over all rectangular regions (possibly unbounded) with sides parallel to the co-ordinate axes.

The letters A, B and C denote positive constants throughout that are not necessarily the same at each occurrence. Boldfaced letters denote vectors whose components may be functions. We also write

$$\mathscr{L} = \log\log T.$$

2 Basic lemmas

In this section we provide several of the technical lemmas we shall need later.

Lemma 1. *Let $a \geq b > 0$. There exists an absolute positive constant C such that for any positive integer k we have*

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \big(\log|a - be^{iv}|\big)^{2k} dv \ll (C\,|\log a|)^{2k} + (Ck)^{2k}.$$

Proof. First assume that $a > b$. Then writing z for e^{iv}, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \big(\log|a - be^{iv}|\big)^{2k} dv \\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)4^k}\int_{|z|=1} \big(-\log(a - bz) - \log(a - bz^{-1})\big)^{2k}\frac{dz}{z} \qquad (2.1)\\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)4^k}\int_{|z|=1} \left(-2\log a + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(bz/a)^n}{n} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{(b/az)^m}{m}\right)^{2k}\frac{dz}{z} \\
&= \frac{1}{4^k}\sum_{k_1+k_2+k_3=2k}\binom{2k}{k_1,k_2,k_3}(-2\log a)^{k_1}\left\{\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{|z|=1}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(bz/a)^n}{n}\right)^{k_2}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{(b/az)^m}{m}\right)^{k_3}\frac{dz}{z}\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

We calculate the expression in braces by the calculus of residues. If $k_2 = k_3 = 0$, it equals 1. If one of k_2, k_3 is 0 but the other is not, it equals 0. In all other cases, since $a > b > 0$, it equals

$$\sum_{n_1+\cdots+n_{k_2}=m_1+\cdots+m_{k_3}} \frac{(b/a)^{n_1+\cdots+n_{k_2}+m_1+\cdots+m_{k_3}}}{n_1\cdots n_{k_2}m_1\cdots m_{k_3}}$$
$$< \sum_{n_1+\cdots+n_{k_2}=m_1+\cdots+m_{k_3}} \frac{1}{n_1\cdots n_{k_2}m_1\cdots m_{k_3}}$$
$$= \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{n_1+\cdots+n_{k_2}=\ell}\frac{1}{n_1\cdots n_{k_2}}\right)\left(\sum_{m_1+\cdots+m_{k_3}=\ell}\frac{1}{m_1\cdots m_{k_3}}\right).$$

In the first sum at least one of the n_i is maximal, and therefore $\geq \ell/k_2$. There are k_2 choices for the maximal term, so

$$\sum_{n_1+\cdots+n_{k_2}=\ell}\frac{1}{n_1\cdots n_{k_2}} \leq k_2\frac{1}{(\ell/k_2)}\sum_{n_1,\ldots,\ n_{k_2-1}\leq\ell}\frac{1}{n_1\cdots\ n_{k_2-1}} \ll \frac{k_2^2}{\ell}(\log\ell)^{k_2-1}.$$

Thus the above is

$$(k_2k_3)^2\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty}\frac{(\log\ell)^{k_2+k_3-2}}{\ell^2}.$$

Combining our estimates, we find that (2.1) is

$$\ll \frac{1}{4^k}(2|\log a|)^{2k} + \frac{k^4}{4^k}\sum_{\substack{k_1+k_2+k_3=2k\\ k_2,k_3\geq 1}}\binom{2k}{k_1,k_2,k_3}(2|\log a|)^{k_1}\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty}\frac{(\log\ell)^{k_2+k_3-2}}{\ell^2}\right).$$

The function $f(x) = (\log x)^{k_2+k_3-2}x^{-2}$ has a maximum at $x = x_0 = e^{(k_2+k_3-2)/2}$ and it is increasing for $0 < x < x_0$ and decreasing for $x > x_0$. Thus

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty}\frac{(\log\ell)^{k_2+k_3-2}}{\ell^2} \ll x_0\frac{(\log x_0)^{k_2+k_3-2}}{x_0^2} + \int_{x_0}^{\infty}\frac{(\log u)^{k_2+k_3-2}}{u^2}du$$
$$\ll (Ck)^{k_2+k_3-2} + \int_{\log x_0}^{\infty} v^{k_2+k_3-2}e^{-v}dv$$
$$\ll (Ck)^{k_2+k_3-2} + \Gamma(k_2+k_3-1) \ll (Ck)^{k_2+k_3-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Hence,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\left(\log|a-be^{iv}|\right)^{2k}dv \ll |\log a|^{2k} + k^{7/2}\sum_{\substack{k_1+k_2+k_3=2k\\ k_2,k_3>0}}\binom{2k}{k_1,k_2,k_3}|2\log a|^{k_1}(Ck)^{k_2+k_3}$$
$$\ll |\log a|^{2k} + k^{7/2}(|2\log a| + Ck)^{2k}$$
$$\ll (C|\log a|)^{2k} + (Ck)^{2k}.$$

Now consider the case $a = b > 0$. We have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\big(\log|a-ae^{iv}|\big)^{2k}dv = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\big(\log|2a|+\log|\sin v/2|\big)^{2k}dv$$
$$\leq 2^{2k-1}\bigg((\log|2a|)^{2k}+\frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^{\pi}\big(\log|\sin v/2|\big)^{2k}dv\bigg).$$

Note that for $0 \leq v \leq \pi$ we have $v/4 \leq |\sin(v/2)| \leq 1$. Thus, the last line is

$$\leq 2^{2k-1}\bigg((\log|2a|)^{2k}+\frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^{\pi}\big(\log(v/4)\big)^{2k}dv\bigg)$$
$$\ll C^{2k}\bigg(|\log a|^{2k}+\int_0^1\big(\log x\big)^{2k}dx\bigg) \ll C^{2k}\bigg(|\log a|^{2k}+\Gamma(2k+1)\bigg)$$
$$\ll (C|\log a|)^{2k}+(Ck)^{2k}.$$

□

Lemma 2. *Let $L(s) = L(s,\chi)$ be a Hecke L-function attached to an ideal class character of the quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$. For $\sigma > 1$ write*

$$\log L(s) = \sum_{p,n}\frac{a(p^n)}{p^{ns}},$$

and for $Y \geq 2$ and any s let

$$R_Y(s) = \sum_{p^n \leq Y}\frac{a(p^n)}{p^{ns}}.$$

Suppose that $1/2 < \sigma < 1$ and $B_1 > 0$ are fixed, and that $Y = (\log T)^{B_2}$ with $B_2 > 2(B_1+1)/(\sigma-1/2)$. Then

$$\log L(s) = R_Y(s) + O\big((\log T)^{-B_1}\big)$$

for all $t \in [T, 2T]$ except on a set of measure $\ll T^{1-d(\sigma)}$, where $d(\sigma) > 0$.

Proof. Using an approximate functional equation for $L(s)$ (for example, see Section A.12 of [4]) in a standard way, we find that

$$\int_T^{2T}|L(1/2+it)|^2dt \ll T(\log T)^4.$$

From this and Theorem 1 of [6] we obtain the zero-density estimate

$$N_L(\sigma,T,2T) := \sum_{\substack{T<\gamma\leq 2T\\ \beta\geq\sigma}} 1 \ll T^{1-a_1(\sigma-1/2)}(\log T)^{12}$$

uniformly for $\sigma \geq 1/2$, where $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ denotes a generic nontrivial zero of $L(s)$ and $a_1 > 0$ is a constant independent of σ.

Now let $s = \sigma + it$ with $1/2 < \sigma < 1$ and $T \le t \le 2T$. By Perron's formula (see Titchmarsh [9], pp.60–61)

$$R_Y(s) = \sum_{p^n \le Y} \frac{a(p^n)}{p^{ns}} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-iY}^{c+iY} \log L(s+w) \frac{Y^w}{w} dw + O(Y^{-\sigma+\epsilon}), \tag{2.2}$$

where $c = 1 - \sigma + \epsilon$ with $0 < \epsilon < 1/4$. Let $w_0 = (1/2 - \sigma)/2$ and assume that $L(s+w)$ has no zeros in the half-strip given by $\Re w \ge \frac{3}{4}(1/2 - \sigma)$, $|\Im w| \le Y + 1$. Then in the slightly smaller half-strip $\Re w \ge w_0, |\Im w| \le Y$ we have

$$\frac{L'}{L}(s+w) \ll \log T \tag{2.3}$$

(see Iwaniec and Kowalski [3], Proposition 5.7). Observe that this holds for all $t \in [T, 2T]$ except for t in a set of measure

$$\ll (2Y+2) \cdot N(\tfrac{1}{2} + \tfrac{1}{4}(\sigma - \tfrac{1}{2}), T, 2T) \ll T^{1 - a_1(\sigma - 1/2)/4} (\log T)^{B_2 + 12}.$$

Now, integrating (2.3) along the horizontal segment from w to $w+2$, we see that

$$\log L(s+w) = O(\log T).$$

Using this and shifting the contour to the left in (2.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} R_Y(s) &= \log L(s) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{w_0 - iY}^{w_0 + iY} \log L(s+w) \frac{Y^w}{w} dw + O(Y^{-\sigma+\epsilon}) \\ &= \log L(s) + O\big((\log T)^{1 - B_2(\sigma - 1/2)/2} + (\log T)^{(-\sigma+\epsilon)B_2}\big). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\log L(s) = R_Y(s) + O\big((\log T)^{1 - B_2(\sigma - 1/2)/2} + (\log T)^{-(\sigma - \epsilon)B_2}\big) \tag{2.4}$$

holds for all $t \in [T, 2T]$ except for a set of measure

$$\ll T^{1 - c_1(\sigma - 1/2)/4} (\log T)^{B_2 + 12}.$$

Given $B_1 > 0$, if we take $B_2 > 2(B_1 + 1)/(\sigma - 1/2)$, both error terms in (2.4) are $O((\log T)^{-B_1})$. This proves the lemma. □

Lemma 3. *Let $2 \le y \le z$ and let k be a positive integer $\le \log T/(3 \log z)$. Suppose that $|a(p)| \le 2$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_T^{2T} \Big| \sum_{y < p \le z} \frac{a(p)}{p^{\sigma + it}} \Big|^{2k} dt \ll 2^{2k} k! \Big(\sum_{y < p \le z} \frac{1}{p^{2\sigma}} \Big)^k + 2^{2k} T^{-1/3}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\Big(\Big| \sum_{y < p \le z} \frac{a(p) X(p)}{p^{\sigma}} \Big|^{2k} \Big) \ll 2^{2k} k! \Big(\sum_{y < p \le z} \frac{1}{p^{2\sigma}} \Big)^k.$$

This is a simple modification of Lemma 3.2 of [8] so we omit the proof.

Lemma 4. *Let $R_{j,Y}(s)$ be the Dirichlet polynomial approximation corresponding to $\log L_j(s)$ in Lemma 2 and let $R_{j,Y}(\sigma, X)$ be the analogous expression for $\log L_j(\sigma, X)$. Let $1/2 < \sigma < 1$ and $Y = (\log T)^{B_2}$, where B_2 is as in Lemma 2. Then for any positive integers $k \le \log T/(3\log Y)$ and $j \le J$, we have*

$$\frac{1}{T}\int_T^{2T} |R_{j,Y}(\sigma+it)|^{2k}dt \ll \left(\frac{Ck^{1-\sigma}}{(\log k)^\sigma}\right)^{2k}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\big(|R_{j,Y}(\sigma,X)|^{2k}\big) \ll \left(\frac{Ck^{1-\sigma}}{(\log k)^\sigma}\right)^{2k}.$$

Here C is a constant depending only on σ.

Proof. To prove the first estimate it is enough to show that

$$\frac{1}{T}\int_T^{2T} \Big|\sum_{p\le Y}\frac{a_j(p)}{p^{\sigma+it}}\Big|^{2k} dt \ll \left(\frac{Ck^{1-\sigma}}{(\log k)^\sigma}\right)^{2k}.$$

By Lemma 3 and the prime number theorem

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{T}\int_T^{2T} \Big|\sum_{p\le Y}\frac{a_j(p)}{p^{\sigma+it}}\Big|^{2k} dt &\le \frac{2^{2k-1}}{T}\int_T^{2T} \Big|\sum_{p\le k\log k}\frac{a_j(p)}{p^{\sigma+it}}\Big|^{2k} dt + \frac{2^{2k-1}}{T}\int_T^{2T} \Big|\sum_{k\log k<p\le Y}\frac{a_j(p)}{p^{\sigma+it}}\Big|^{2k} dt\\ &\ll 2^{2k-1}\Big(\sum_{p\le k\log k}\frac{2}{p^\sigma}\Big)^{2k} + 2^{4k-1}k!\Big(\sum_{k\log k<p\le Y}\frac{1}{p^{2\sigma}}\Big)^{k} + 2^{4k-1}T^{-1/3}\\ &\ll \left(\frac{Ck^{1-\sigma}}{(\log k)^\sigma}\right)^{2k}.\end{aligned}$$

The estimate for the expectation may be treated similarly.

□

Lemma 5. *Let*

$$Q_{j,Y}(s) = \sum_{n\le Y}\frac{b_j(n)}{n^s} \qquad \textit{and} \qquad Q_{j,Y}(\sigma,X) = \sum_{n\le Y}\frac{b_j(n)X(n)}{n^\sigma},$$

where for each $j \le J$, the $b_j(n)$ are bounded complex numbers, and, if $n = \prod_p p^\alpha$, then $X(n) = \prod_p X(p)^\alpha$ with the $X(p)$ uniformly and independently distributed on $\mathbb{T}$. Let k_j, k_j' for $j \le J$ be positive integers and $k = \sum_{j\le J} k_j$, $k' = \sum_{j\le J} k_j'$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{T}\int_T^{2T}\prod_{j\le J}\Big(Q_{j,Y}(\sigma+it)^{k_j}\overline{Q_{j,Y}(\sigma+it)}^{k_j'}\Big)dt =& \mathbb{E}\Big(\prod_{j\le J}\Big(Q_{j,Y}(\sigma,X)^{k_j}\overline{Q_{j,Y}(\sigma,X)}^{k_j'}\Big)\Big)\\ &+ O\Big(\frac{(CY^{2-\sigma})^{k+k'}}{T}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Proof.

$$\frac{1}{T}\int_T^{2T}\prod_{j\le J}\Big(Q_{j,Y}(\sigma+it)^{k_j}\overline{Q_{j,Y}(\sigma+it)}^{k_j'}\Big)dt$$
$$=\frac{1}{T}\int_T^{2T}\Big(\sum_{n_{i,j}\le Y}\frac{b_1(n_{1,1})\cdots b_1(n_{k_1,1})}{(n_{1,1}\cdots n_{k_1,1})^{\sigma+it}}\cdots\frac{b_J(n_{1,J})\cdots b_J(n_{k_J,J})}{(n_{1,J}\cdots n_{k_J,J})^{\sigma+it}}\Big)$$
$$\cdot\Big(\sum_{m_{i,j}\le Y}\frac{\overline{b_1(m_{1,1})}\cdots\overline{b_1(m_{k_1',1})}}{(m_{1,1}\cdots m_{k_1',1})^{\sigma-it}}\cdots\frac{\overline{b_J(m_{1,J})}\cdots\overline{b_J(m_{k_J',J})}}{(m_{1,J}\cdots m_{k_J',J})^{\sigma-it}}\Big)\ dt.$$

The contribution of the diagonal terms to this is

$$\mathcal{D}=\sum_{\substack{n_{i,j},m_{i,j}\le Y\\ \prod n_{i,j}=\prod m_{i,j}}}\frac{b_1(n_{1,1})\cdots b_1(n_{k_1,1})}{(n_{1,1}\cdots n_{k_1,1})^{\sigma}}\cdots\frac{b_J(n_{1,J})\cdots b_J(n_{k_J,J})}{(n_{1,J}\cdots n_{k_J,J})^{\sigma}}$$
$$\cdot\frac{\overline{b_1(m_{1,1})}\cdots\overline{b_1(m_{k_1',1})}}{(m_{1,1}\cdots m_{k_1',1})^{\sigma}}\cdots\frac{\overline{b_J(m_{1,J})}\cdots\overline{b_J(m_{k_J',J})}}{(m_{1,J}\cdots m_{k_J',J})^{\sigma}}$$
$$=\mathbb{E}\Big(\prod_{j\le J}\Big(Q_{j,Y}(\sigma,X)^{k_j}\overline{Q_{j,Y}(\sigma,X)}^{k_j'}\Big)\Big).$$

The off-diagonal contribution is

$$\mathcal{O}=\sum_{\substack{n_{i,j},m_{i,j}\le Y\\ \prod n_{i,j}\ne\prod m_{i,j}}}\frac{b_1(n_{1,1})\cdots b_1(n_{k_1,1})}{(n_{1,1}\cdots n_{k_1,1})^{\sigma}}\cdots\frac{b_J(n_{1,J})\cdots b_J(n_{k_J,J})}{(n_{1,J}\cdots n_{k_J,J})^{\sigma}}$$
$$\cdot\frac{\overline{b_1(m_{1,1})}\cdots\overline{b_1(m_{k_1',1})}}{(m_{1,1}\cdots m_{k_1',1})^{\sigma}}\cdots\frac{\overline{b_J(m_{1,J})}\cdots\overline{b_J(m_{k_J',J})}}{(m_{1,J}\cdots m_{k_J',J})^{\sigma}}\cdot\Big(\frac{(m/n)^{2iT}-(m/n)^{iT}}{iT\log(m/n)}\Big),$$

where $n=\prod n_{i,j}$ and $m=\prod m_{i,j}$. Since $n,m\le Y^{k+k'}$ and $n\ne m$,

$$\frac{1}{|\log(m/n)|}\ll Y^{k+k'}.$$

Hence,

$$\mathcal{O}\ll\frac{Y^{k+k'}}{T}\sum_{\substack{n_{i,j},m_{i,j}\le Y\\ \prod n_{i,j}\ne\prod m_{i,j}}}\frac{|b_1(n_{1,1})\cdots b_1(n_{k_1,1})|}{(n_{1,1}\cdots n_{k_1,1})^{\sigma}}\cdots\frac{|b_J(n_{1,J})\cdots b_J(n_{k_J,J})|}{(n_{1,J}\cdots n_{k_J,J})^{\sigma}}$$
$$\cdot\frac{|b_1(m_{1,1})\cdots b_1(m_{k_1',1})|}{(m_{1,1}\cdots m_{k_1',1})^{\sigma}}\cdots\frac{|b_J(m_{1,J})\cdots b_J(m_{k_J',J})|}{(m_{1,J}\cdots m_{k_J',J})^{\sigma}}$$
$$\ll\frac{(CY)^{k+k'}}{T}\sum_{n_{i,j},m_{i,j}\le Y}\frac{1}{(n_{1,1}\cdots n_{k_1,1})^{\sigma}}\cdots\frac{1}{(n_{1,J}\cdots n_{k_J,J})^{\sigma}}$$
$$\cdot\frac{1}{(m_{1,1}\cdots m_{k_1',1})^{\sigma}}\cdots\frac{1}{(m_{1,J}\cdots m_{k_J',J})^{\sigma}}$$
$$\ll\frac{(CY^{2-\sigma})^{k+k'}}{T}.$$

The lemma now follows on combining our estimates for the diagonal and off-diagonal terms $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{O}$. □

3 Lemmas on moments of logarithms of L-functions

We will frequently appeal to the following three lemmas.

Lemma 6. *Let $1/2 < \sigma \le 2$ be fixed. There exists a constant $C > 0$ depending at most on J, such that for every positive integer k we have*

$$\frac{1}{T}\int_T^{2T} \Big|\ \log\Big|\sum_{j\le J} c_j L_j(\sigma+it)\Big|\ \Big|^{2k} dt \ll (Ck)^{4k}.$$

Lemma 7. *Let $1/2 < \sigma \le 2$ be fixed. There exist an absolute constant $C_1 > 0$ and a constant $C_2 > 0$ depending on σ such that for every positive integer $k \le \log T/(C_2 \log\log T)$, we have*

$$\frac{1}{T}\int_T^{2T} |\log c_j L_j(s)|^{2k} dt \ll (C_1 k)^{2k}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{T}\int_T^{2T} \big|\log c_i L_i(s) - \log c_j L_j(s)\big|^{2k} dt \ll (C_1 k)^{2k}.$$

Lemma 8. *Let $1/2 < \sigma \le 2$ be fixed. For every integer $k > 0$ we have*

$$\mathbb{E}\Big(\Big|\ \log\Big|\sum_{j\le J} c_j L_j(\sigma, X)\Big|\ \Big|^{2k}\Big) \ll (Ck)^{2k}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\Big(\Big|\ \log c_j L_j(\sigma, X)\Big|^{2k}\Big) \ll (Ck)^{k},$$

where, in either case, $C > 0$ is a constant depending at most on J.

We will sometimes use Lemmas 6 and 7 to show that we may restrict certain sets to lie within intervals of length $\approx \mathscr{L} = \log\log T$ at the cost of a small error. Here is a typical example. Let $\mathscr{B}$ be a Borel set in $\mathbb{R}$ and let A be a positive constant. Set

$$I_T = (-A\mathscr{L}, A\mathscr{L}].$$

Then

$$\operatorname{meas}\big\{t \in [T,2T] : |\log|c_i L_i(s)|| \notin I_T\big\} \le \int_T^{2T} \left(\frac{|\log|c_i L_i(s)||}{A\mathscr{L}}\right)^{2k} dt \ll\ T\left(\frac{Ck}{A\mathscr{L}}\right)^{2k}.$$

Taking $k = \mathscr{L}$ and A sufficiently large relative to C, we see that this is $\ll T(\log T)^{-B}$, where $B > 0$ is an arbitrarily large constant. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{meas}\big\{t \in [T,2T] :\ |\log|c_i L_i(s)|| \in \mathscr{B}\big\} =& \operatorname{meas}\big\{t \in [T,2T] : |\log|c_i L_i(s)|| \in \mathscr{B} \cap I_T\big\} \\ &+ O\big(T(\log T)^{-B}\big).\end{aligned}$$

When required, we will restrict sets in this way by simply writing "by Lemma 6 (or 7)".

The proof of Lemma 6 is a straightforward modification of the proof of Proposition 2.5 in [8] (one just replaces $\zeta(s)-a$ by $E(s,Q)$ throughout), so we do not include it.

3.1 Proof of Lemma 7

A little thought shows that it is enough to prove that

$$\frac{1}{T}\int_T^{2T} |\log L_j(\sigma+it)|^{2k}dt \ll (Ck)^{2k}.$$

Let $\mathscr{A}(T)=\mathscr{A}_\sigma(T)$ be the set of $t\in[T,2T]$ such that

$$\log L(\sigma+it)=R_Y(\sigma+it)+O((\log T)^{-B_1})$$

and let $\mathscr{A}'(T)=[T,2T]\setminus\mathscr{A}(T)$. Then by Lemma 2

$$\operatorname{meas}(\mathscr{A}'(T))\ll T^{1-d(\sigma)}. \tag{3.1}$$

Splitting the integral as

$$\frac{1}{T}\int_T^{2T} |\log L(\sigma+it)|^{2k}dt=\left\{\int_{\mathscr{A}(T)}+\int_{\mathscr{A}'(T)}\right\}|\log L(\sigma+it)|^{2k}dt, \tag{3.2}$$

we first estimate the integral over $\mathscr{A}'(T)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{T}\int_{\mathscr{A}'(T)} |\log L(\sigma+it)|^{2k}dt\\ &\qquad\le 2^{k-1}\left(\frac{1}{T}\int_{\mathscr{A}'(T)} |\log|L(\sigma+it)||^{2k}dt+\frac{1}{T}\int_{\mathscr{A}'(T)} |\arg L(\sigma+it)|^{2k}dt\right).\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

By Lemma 9.4 in [9]

$$\arg L(\sigma+it)=O(\log T),$$

so

$$\frac{1}{T}\int_{\mathscr{A}'(T)} |\arg L(\sigma+it)|^{2k}dt\ll T^{-d(\sigma)}(C\log T)^{2k}.$$

By the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, Lemma 6, and (3.1), the second integral in (3.3) is

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{T}\int_{\mathscr{A}'(T)} |\log|L(\sigma+it)||^{2k}dt \ll& T^{-d(\sigma)/2}\left(\frac{1}{T}\int_T^{2T} |\log|L(\sigma+it)||^{4k}dt\right)^{1/2}\\ \ll& T^{-d(\sigma)/2}(Ck)^{4k}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\frac{1}{T}\int_{\mathscr{A}'(T)} |\log L(\sigma+it)|^{2k}dt\ll T^{-d(\sigma)}(C\log T)^{2k}+T^{-d(\sigma)/2}(Ck)^{4k}. \tag{3.4}$$

Next we estimate the integral over $\mathscr{A}(T)$. By the definition of $\mathscr{A}(T)$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{T}\int_{\mathscr{A}(T)} |\log L(\sigma+it)|^{2k}dt &= \frac{1}{T}\int_{\mathscr{A}(T)} |R_Y(\sigma+it)+O((\log T)^{-B_1})|^{2k}dt\\ &\le \frac{1}{T}\int_T^{2T}\left|\sum_{p\le Y}\frac{a(p)}{p^{\sigma+it}}+O(1)\right|^{2k}dt\\ &\le 2^{2k-1}\left(\frac{1}{T}\int_T^{2T}\left|\sum_{p\le Y}\frac{a(p)}{p^{\sigma+it}}\right|^{2k}dt+C^k\right).\end{aligned}$$

Defining

$$a_k(n)=\sum_{\substack{p_1\cdots p_k=n\\ p_i\le Y}} a(p_1)\cdots a(p_k),$$

we find that since $|a(p)|\le 2$,

$$|a_k(n)|\le \sum_{p_1\cdots p_k=n} 2^k \le 2^k k!\ll (Ck)^k.$$

Thus, for $Y^k\ll T$ and $1/2<\sigma\le 2$ fixed,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{T}\int_T^{2T}\left|\sum_{p\le Y}\frac{a(p)}{p^{\sigma+it}}\right|^{2k}dt &= \frac{1}{T}\int_T^{2T}\left|\sum_{n\le Y^k}\frac{a_k(n)}{n^{\sigma+it}}\right|^{2}dt\\ &= \frac{1}{T}(T+O(Y^k))\sum_{n\le Y^k}\frac{|a_k(n)|^2}{n^{2\sigma}}\\ &\ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(Ck)^{2k}}{n^{2\sigma}}\ll (Ck)^{2k}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $Y=(\log T)^{B_2}$, this inequality holds for $k\le \log T/(B_2\log\log T)$.

Combining this with (3.2) and (3.4), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{T}\int_T^{2T}|\log L(\sigma+it)|^{2k}dt\ll (Ck)^{2k}+T^{-d(\sigma)}(C\log T)^{2k}+T^{-d(\sigma)/2}(Ck)^{4k}$$

for $k\le \log T/(B_2\log\log T)$. In the second and third error terms we have

$$(C\log T)^{2k}\le C^{2k}T^{2/B_2}\qquad\text{and}\qquad (Ck)^{4k}\le T^{4/B_2}.$$

Thus, choosing B_2 large enough, we find that

$$\frac{1}{T}\int_T^{2T}|\log L(\sigma+it)|^{2k}dt\ll (Ck)^{2k}$$

for $k\le \log T/(B_2\log\log T)$, as required.

3.2 Proof of Lemma 8

We define a measure on Borel sets $\mathscr{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{2J}$ by

$$\Phi(\mathscr{B}) := \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{L}_0(\sigma, X) \in \mathscr{B}),$$

where

$$\mathbf{L}_0(\sigma, X) := \big(\log|L_1(\sigma, X)|, \dots, \log|L_J(\sigma, X)|, \arg L_1(\sigma, X), \dots, \arg L_J(\sigma, X)\big).$$

By a straightforward generalization of the proofs of Theorems 5 and 6 in Borchsenius and Jessen [1], one can show that Φ is absolutely continuous and that its density function $F(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$ satisfies

$$F(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \ll \exp\big(-A(u_1^2 + \cdots + u_J^2 + v_1^2 + \cdots + v_J^2)\big)$$

for some constant $A > 0$, where $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_J)$ and $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_J)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\bigg(\bigg|\log\bigg|\sum_{j=1}^{J} c_j L_j(\sigma, X)\bigg|\bigg|^{2k}\bigg) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\cdots\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\bigg|\log\bigg|\sum_{j=1}^{J} c_j e^{u_j+iv_j}\bigg|\bigg|^{2k} F(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})\, d\mathbf{u}d\mathbf{v} \\ &\ll \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\cdots\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\bigg|\log\bigg|\sum_{j=1}^{J} c_j e^{u_j+iv_j}\bigg|\bigg|^{2k} e^{-A(u_1^2+\cdots+u_J^2+v_1^2+\cdots+v_J^2)}\, d\mathbf{u}d\mathbf{v}.\end{aligned}$$

Since e^{iv_j} is periodic, the integral with respect to v_j is of the form

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}\int_0^{2\pi}\bigg|\log\bigg|\sum_{j=1}^{J} c_j e^{u_j+iv_j}\bigg|\bigg|^{2k} e^{-A(v_j+2\pi m)^2}\, dv_j \\ &\qquad = \int_0^{2\pi}\bigg|\log\bigg|\sum_{j=1}^{J} c_j e^{u_j+iv_j}\bigg|\bigg|^{2k}\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-A(v_j+2\pi m)^2}\, dv_j \\ &\qquad \ll \int_0^{2\pi}\bigg|\log\bigg|\sum_{j=1}^{J} c_j e^{u_j+iv_j}\bigg|\bigg|^{2k} dv_j.\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\bigg(\bigg|\log\bigg|\sum_{j=1}^{J} c_j L_j(\sigma, X)\bigg|\bigg|^{2k}\bigg) \qquad (3.5)\\ &\qquad \ll \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\cdots\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\bigg(\int_0^{2\pi}\cdots\int_0^{2\pi}\bigg|\log\bigg|\sum_{j=1}^{J} c_j e^{u_j+iv_j}\bigg|\bigg|^{2k} d\mathbf{v}\bigg) e^{-A(u_1^2+\cdots+u_J^2)}\, d\mathbf{u}.\end{aligned}$$

We first integrate with respect to u_1 and v_1 and see that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_0^{2\pi}\bigg|\log\bigg|\sum_{j=1}^{J} c_j e^{u_j+iv_j}\bigg|\bigg|^{2k} e^{-Au_1^2} dv_1 du_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_0^{2\pi}\big|\log|B + c_1 e^{u_1+iv_1}|\big|^{2k} e^{-Au_1^2} dv_1 du_1,$$

where $B = \sum_{j=2}^{J} c_j e^{u_j+iv_j}$. Dividing the u_1-integral into two pieces, we see that this equals

$$\Big(\int_{|B|\geq|c_1|e^{u_1}} + \int_{|B|<|c_1|e^{u_1}}\Big)\Big(\int_0^{2\pi} \big|\log|B+c_1e^{u_1+iv_1}|\big|^{2k} dv_1\Big)e^{-Au_1^2}du_1. \tag{3.6}$$

By Lemma 1 the first integral is

$$\ll \int_{|B|\geq|c_1|e^{u_1}} \big((C\log|B|)^{2k} + (Ck)^{2k}\big)e^{-Au_1^2}du_1 \ll (C\log|B|)^{2k} + (Ck)^{2k}).$$

Also by Lemma 1, the second integral is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{|B|<|c_1|e^{u_1}} \Big(\int_0^{2\pi} \big|\log|c_1e^{u_1} + Be^{-iv_1}|\big|^{2k} dv_1\Big)e^{-Au_1^2}du_1 \\
&\qquad \ll \int_{|B|<|c_1|e^{u_1}} \big((C|\log c_1e^{u_1}|)^{2k} + (Ck)^{2k}\big)e^{-Au_1^2}du_1 \\
&\qquad \ll \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (C+|u_1|)^{2k}e^{-Au_1^2}du_1 + (Ck)^{2k} \\
&\qquad \ll C^{2k}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_1^{2k}e^{-Au_1^2}du_1 + (Ck)^{2k} \ll C^{2k}\Gamma(k+\tfrac{1}{2}) + (Ck)^{2k} \\
&\qquad \ll (Ck)^{2k}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_0^{2\pi} \left|\log\left|\sum_{j=1}^{J} c_je^{u_j+iv_j}\right|\right|^{2k} e^{-Au_1^2}dv_1du_1 \ll \left(C\left|\log\left|\sum_{j=2}^{J} c_je^{u_j+iv_j}\right|\right|\right)^{2k} + (Ck)^{2k}$$

Proceeding inductively, we find that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\cdots\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\Big(\int_0^{2\pi}\cdots\int_0^{2\pi} \left|\log\left|\sum_{j=1}^{J} c_je^{u_j+iv_j}\right|\right|^{2k} d\mathbf{v}\Big)e^{-A(u_1^2+\cdots+u_J^2)}d\mathbf{u} \ll (Ck)^{2k}.$$

Thus, by (3.5)

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\left|\log\left|\sum_{j=1}^{J} c_jL_j(\sigma,X)\right|\right|^{2k}\right) \ll (Ck)^{2k}.$$

We now prove the second inequality of the lemma, namely,

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\left|\log c_jL_j(\sigma,X)\right|^{2k}\right) \ll (Ck)^{k}.$$

as in the proof for the first inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\Big(\Big|\log L_j(\sigma,X)\Big|^{2k}\Big) &\ll \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|u+iv|^{2k}e^{-A(u^2+v^2)}dudv\\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(u^2+v^2)^k e^{-A(u^2+v^2)}dudv\\ &= \int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^{\infty} r^{2k}e^{-Ar^2}rdrd\theta\\ &\ll (Ck)^k.\end{aligned}$$

4 Proof of Theorem 2

4.1 Two lemmas pertaining to the proof of Theorem 2

To prove Theorem 2 we also require the following two lemmas.

Lemma 9. *Let* $\mathbf{u}=(u_1,\dots,u_J)$ *and similarly* $\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}$, *and* $\mathbf{z}$ *be vectors in* $\mathbb{R}^J$. *Let*

$$(\mathbf{w},\mathbf{z})\cdot(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})=\sum_{j\le J}(w_ju_j+z_jv_j),$$

and define

$$\widehat{\Psi}_T(\mathbf{w},\mathbf{z}):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2J}}e^{i(\mathbf{w},\mathbf{z})\cdot(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})}d\Psi_T(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})$$

and

$$\widehat{\Psi}(\mathbf{w},\mathbf{z}):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2J}}e^{i(\mathbf{w},\mathbf{z})\cdot(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})}d\Psi(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}).$$

If $A>1$, *there exists a constant* $b=b(\sigma,A)>0$ *such that for all* $\mathbf{w}$ *and* $\mathbf{z}$ *with* $|w_j|,|z_j|\le b(\log T)^{\sigma}$, *we have*

$$\widehat{\Psi}_T(\mathbf{w},\mathbf{z})-\widehat{\Psi}(\mathbf{w},\mathbf{z})=O\big((\log T)^{-A}\big).$$

Proof. By the definition of Ψ_T and Ψ (see (1.4) and (1.5)), we may write

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\Psi}_T(\mathbf{w},\mathbf{z})=\frac{1}{T}\int_T^{2T}\exp\Bigg[i\Bigg(&\sum_{j<J}w_j\log\Big|\frac{c_j}{c_J}\frac{L_j}{L_J}(\sigma+it)\Big|+w_J\log|c_JL_J(\sigma+it)|\\ &+\sum_{j<J}z_j\arg\frac{c_j}{c_J}\frac{L_j}{L_J}(\sigma+it)+z_J\arg c_JL_J(\sigma+it)\Bigg)\Bigg]dt\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\Psi}(\mathbf{w},\mathbf{z})=\mathbb{E}\Bigg(\exp\Bigg[i\Bigg(&\sum_{j<J}w_j\log\Big|\frac{c_j}{c_J}\frac{L_j}{L_J}(\sigma,X)\Big|+w_J\log|c_JL_J(\sigma,X)|\\ &+\sum_{j<J}z_j\arg\frac{c_j}{c_J}\frac{L_j}{L_J}(\sigma,X)+z_J\arg c_JL_J(\sigma,X)\Bigg)\Bigg]\Bigg).\end{aligned}$$

Now we use Lemma 2 to replace the logarithms of the L-functions by short Dirichlet polynomials. Let

$$Q_{j,Y}(\sigma+it) = \log\frac{c_j}{c_J} + \sum_{p^n\le Y}\frac{a_j(p^n)-a_J(p^n)}{p^{n(\sigma+it)}}$$

for $j<J$, and

$$Q_{J,Y}(\sigma+it) = \log c_J + \sum_{p^n\le Y}\frac{a_J(p^n)}{p^{n(\sigma+it)}}.$$

By Lemma 2, for any fixed $B_1>0$ we have

$$\log\frac{c_j}{c_J}\frac{L_j}{L_J}(\sigma+it) = Q_{j,Y}(\sigma+it) + O((\log T)^{-B_1}) \qquad (j<J) \tag{4.1}$$

and

$$\log c_J L_J(\sigma+it) = Q_{J,Y}(\sigma+it) + O((\log T)^{-B_1}) \tag{4.2}$$

for all $t\in[T,2T]$ except for a set of measure $T^{1-d(\sigma)}$, where $d(\sigma)>0$ is a constant. Here $Y=(\log T)^{B_2}$ and $B_2>2(B_1+1)/(\sigma-1/2)$. Letting $B_1(T)$ be the set of $t\in[T,2T]$ such that (4.1) and (4.2) hold, we then see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\Psi}_T(\mathbf{w},\mathbf{z}) =& \frac{1}{T}\int_{B_1(T)} \exp\Bigg[i\Bigg(\sum_{j<J} w_j\log\left|\frac{c_j}{c_J}\frac{L_j}{L_J}(\sigma+it)\right| + w_J\log|c_JL_J(\sigma+it)| \\
&\qquad + \sum_{j<J} z_j\arg\frac{c_j}{c_J}\frac{L_j}{L_J}(\sigma+it) + z_J\arg c_JL_J(\sigma+it)\Bigg)\Bigg]dt + O\big(T^{-d(\sigma)}\big) \\
=& \frac{1}{T}\int_{B_1(T)} \exp\Bigg[i\sum_{j\le J}\big(w_j\Re\, Q_{j,Y}(\sigma+it) + z_j\Im\, Q_{j,Y}(\sigma+it)\big)\Bigg]dt + O\big((\log T)^{-B_1}\big) \\
=& \frac{1}{T}\int_{T}^{2T} \exp\Bigg[i\sum_{j\le J}\Re\,\big((w_j-iz_j)Q_{j,Y}(\sigma+it)\big)\Bigg]dt + O\big((\log T)^{-B_1}\big).
\end{aligned}$$

To estimate this we define

$$B_2(T) := \{t\in[T,2T] : |Q_{j,Y}(\sigma+it)|\le(\log T)^{1-\sigma}/\log\log T,\ j\le J\}.$$

Then by Lemma 4 and a Chebyshev inequality-type argument, we find that

$$\operatorname{meas}\big([T,2T]\setminus B_2(T)\big) \ll Te^{-B_3\log T/\log\log T},$$

where $B_3=\frac{2}{3B_2}\log\frac{(3B_2)^{1-\sigma}}{C}$. Clearly B_3 will be positive if we choose B_2 sufficiently large. Assuming this is the case, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{T}\int_{T}^{2T} \exp\Bigg[i\sum_{j\le J}\Re\,\big((w_j-iz_j)Q_{j,Y}(\sigma+it)\big)\Bigg]dt \\
&= \frac{1}{T}\int_{B_2(T)} \exp\Bigg[i\sum_{j\le J}\Re\,\big((w_j-iz_j)Q_{j,Y}(\sigma+it)\big)\Bigg]dt + O(e^{-B_3\log T/\log\log T}).
\end{aligned}$$

Now for $|w_j|, |z_j| \le b(\log T)^\sigma$ and $t \in B_2(T)$, we have

$$\left| \sum_{j \le J} \Re\left((w_j - iz_j)Q_{j,Y}(\sigma+it)\right)\right| \le \sqrt{2}\, b\, J \frac{\log T}{\log\log T}.$$

Taking $N = [e^2\sqrt{2}bJ \log T/\log\log T]$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{T}\int_{B_2(T)} \exp\left[i\sum_{j\le J}\Re\left((w_j - iz_j)Q_{j,Y}(\sigma+it)\right)\right]dt \\
&\quad= \frac{1}{T}\int_{B_2(T)} \sum_{n\le N}\frac{i^n}{n!}\left(\sum_{j\le J}\Re\left((w_j - iz_j)Q_{j,Y}(\sigma+it)\right)\right)^n dt + O(e^{-N}) \\
&\quad= \frac{1}{T}\int_{B_2(T)} \sum_{n\le N}\frac{i^n}{n!}\left(\sum_{j\le J}\left((w_j - iz_j)Q_{j,Y}(\sigma+it) + (w_j+iz_j)\overline{Q_{j,Y}(\sigma+it)}\right)\right)^n dt \\
&\qquad + O(e^{-N}) \\
&\quad= \sum_{n\le N}\frac{i^n}{n!}\sum_{\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{e}+\mathbf{k}'\cdot\mathbf{e}=n}\binom{n}{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}\prod_{j\le J}(w_j - iz_j)^{k_j}(w_j+iz_j)^{k_j'} \\
&\qquad\qquad \cdot\left(\frac{1}{T}\int_{B_2(T)}\prod_{j\le J}Q_{j,Y}(\sigma+it)^{k_j}\overline{Q_{j,Y}(\sigma+it)}^{k_j'}dt\right) + O(e^{-N}),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where $\mathbf{e} = (1,1,\dots,1)$, $\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{e} = k_1 + \cdots + k_J$, $\mathbf{k}'\cdot\mathbf{e} = k_1' + \cdots + k_J'$, and

$$\binom{n}{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'} = \binom{n}{k_1,\dots,k_J,k_1',\dots,k_J'}.$$

We write the last integral as

$$\left\{\int_{[T,2T]} - \int_{[T,2T]\setminus B_2(T)}\right\}\prod_{j\le J}Q_{j,Y}(\sigma+it)^{k_j}\overline{Q_{j,Y}(\sigma+it)}^{k_j'}dt$$

By Lemma 4, if $0 < b < (6B_2e^2\sqrt{2}J)^{-1}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{[T,2T]\setminus B_2(T)}\prod_{j\le J}Q_{j,Y}(\sigma+it)^{k_j}\overline{Q_{j,Y}(\sigma+it)}^{k_j'}dt \\
&\qquad\le \big(\mathrm{meas}\big([T,2T]\setminus B_2(T)\big)\big)^{1/2}\left(\int_T^{2T}\prod_{j\le J}|Q_{j,Y}(\sigma+it)|^{2(k_j+k_j')}dt\right)^{1/2} \\
&\qquad\ll T^{1/2}e^{-B_3\log T/(2\log\log T)}\prod_{j\le J}\left(\int_T^{2T}|Q_{j,Y}(\sigma+it)|^{2n}dt\right)^{(k_j+k_j')/(2n)} \\
&\qquad\ll Te^{-B_3\log T/(2\log\log T)}\left(\frac{Cn^{1-\sigma}}{(\log n)^\sigma}\right)^n.
\end{aligned}$$

The contribution of this integral to (4.3) is therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
&\ll e^{-B_3\log T/(2\log\log T)}\sum_{n\le N}\frac{1}{n!}\sum_{\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{e}+\mathbf{k}'\cdot\mathbf{e}=n}\binom{n}{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}(\sqrt{2}b(\log T)^{\sigma})^n\left(\frac{Cn^{1-\sigma}}{(\log n)^{\sigma}}\right)^n\\
&= e^{-B_3\log T/(2\log\log T)}\sum_{n\le N}\frac{1}{n!}(2J)^n(\sqrt{2}b(\log T)^{\sigma})^n\left(\frac{Cn^{1-\sigma}}{(\log n)^{\sigma}}\right)^n\\
&\ll e^{-B_3\log T/(2\log\log T)}\sum_{n\le N}\frac{1}{n!}\left(2J\sqrt{2}bC(\log T)^{\sigma}\frac{N^{1-\sigma}}{(\log N)^{\sigma}}\right)^n\\
&\ll e^{-B_3\log T/(2\log\log T)}\exp\left(2J\sqrt{2}bC(\log T)^{\sigma}\frac{N^{1-\sigma}}{(\log N)^{\sigma}}\right)\\
&\ll \exp\left(\left(-\frac{B_3}{2}+2J\sqrt{2}C(e^2\sqrt{2}J)^{1-\sigma}b^{2-\sigma}\right)\frac{\log T}{\log\log T}\right)\\
&\le \exp\left(-\frac{B_3}{4}\frac{\log T}{\log\log T}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

provided that b also satisfies $0<b<B_3^{1/(2-\sigma)}(8\sqrt{2}JC(e^2\sqrt{2}J)^{1-\sigma})^{-1/(2-\sigma)}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{T}\int_T^{2T}\exp\Big[i\sum_{j\le J}\Re\left((w_j-iz_j)Q_{j,Y}(\sigma+it)\right)\Big]dt&\\
=\sum_{n\le N}\frac{i^n}{n!}\sum_{\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{e}+\mathbf{k}'\cdot\mathbf{e}=n}\binom{n}{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}\prod_{j\le J}(w_j-iz_j)^{k_j}(w_j+iz_j)^{k_j'}&\\
\cdot\left(\frac{1}{T}\int_T^{2T}\prod_{j\le J}Q_{j,Y}(\sigma+it)^{k_j}\overline{Q_{j,Y}(\sigma+it)}^{k_j'}dt\right)&\\
+O(e^{-N})+O(e^{-(B_3/4)\log T/\log\log T}).&
\end{aligned}$$

Note that if we take $b>0$ sufficiently small, the first of the two O-terms will be the largest. Assuming this to be the case, we see by Lemmas 4 and 5 that the above is

$$\begin{aligned}
&=\sum_{n\le N}\frac{i^n}{n!}\sum_{\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{e}+\mathbf{k}'\cdot\mathbf{e}=n}\binom{n}{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}\prod_{j\le J}(w_j-iz_j)^{k_j}(w_j+iz_j)^{k_j'}\\
&\qquad\cdot\mathbb{E}\Big(\prod_{j\le J}Q_{j,Y}(\sigma,X)^{k_j}\overline{Q_{j,Y}(\sigma,X)}^{k_j'}\Big)+O(e^{-N})\\
&=\sum_{n\le N}\frac{i^n}{n!}\mathbb{E}\Big(\Big(\sum_{j\le J}\Re\left((w_j-iz_j)Q_{j,Y}(\sigma,X)\right)\Big)^n\Big)+O(e^{-N})\\
&=\mathbb{E}\Big(\exp\Big[i\sum_{j\le J}\Re\left((w_j-iz_j)Q_{j,Y}(\sigma,X)\right)\Big]\Big)+O(e^{-N}).
\end{aligned}$$

We have now shown that with appropriate choices of the parameters B_2, B_3, and b

$$\widehat{\Psi}_T(\mathbf{w},\mathbf{z})=\mathbb{E}\Big(\exp\Big[i\sum_{j\le J}\Re\left((w_j-iz_j)Q_{j,Y}(\sigma,X)\right)\Big]\Big)+O(e^{-N}), \tag{4.4}$$

where $N = [e^2\sqrt{2}bJ \log T/\log\log T]$.

Now, by direct calculation,

$$\mathbb{E}\big(\big|\log c_J L_J(\sigma,X) - Q_{J,Y}(\sigma,X)\big|^2\big) = \sum_{p^n\ge Y}\frac{|a_J(p^n)|^2}{p^{2n\sigma}} \ll Y^{(1-2\sigma)+\epsilon} \ll (\log T)^{(1-2\sigma)B_2+\epsilon}.$$

From this and Chebyshev's inequality we easily see that

$$\log c_J L_J(\sigma,X) - Q_{J,Y}(\sigma,X) = O\big((\log T)^{-B_1}\big),$$

except for a set of $X\in\mathbb{T}^\infty$ of measure $O((\log T)^{(1-2\sigma)B_2+2B_1+\epsilon})$. Similarly,

$$\log\frac{c_j}{c_J}\frac{L_j}{L_J}(\sigma,X) = Q_{j,Y}(\sigma,X) + O\big((\log T)^{-B_1}\big) \qquad (j<J)$$

holds except for a set of $X\in\mathbb{T}^\infty$ of measure $O((\log T)^{(1-2\sigma)B_2+2B_1+\epsilon})$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\bigg(\exp\bigg[i\sum_{j\le J}\Re\big((w_j-iz_j)Q_{j,Y}(\sigma,X)\big)\bigg]\bigg)\\
&\quad=\mathbb{E}\bigg(\exp\bigg[i\sum_{j<J}\Re\big((w_j-iz_j)\log\frac{c_j}{c_J}\frac{L_j}{L_J}(\sigma,X)\big) + i\Re\big((w_J-iz_J)\log c_J L_J(\sigma,X)\big)\bigg]\bigg)\\
&\qquad+O\big((\log T)^{(1-2\sigma)B_2+2B_1+\epsilon}\big)+O\big((\log T)^{-B_1}\big)\\
&\quad=\widehat{\Psi}(\mathbf{w},\mathbf{z})+O((\log T)^{(1-2\sigma)B_2+2B_1+\epsilon})+O\big((\log T)^{-B_1}\big).
\end{aligned}$$

Choosing first B_1 and then B_2 sufficiently large as a function of B_1, we can ensure that for any given $A>1$, the last line equals

$$\widehat{\Psi}(\mathbf{w},\mathbf{z})+O\big((\log T)^{-A}\big).$$

Combining this with (4.4), we see that

$$\widehat{\Psi}_T(\mathbf{w},\mathbf{z}) = \widehat{\Psi}(\mathbf{w},\mathbf{z})+O\big((\log T)^{-A}\big).$$

This completes the proof of the Lemma 9. □

Lemma 10. *There is a positive constant C_σ such that*

$$|\widehat{\Psi}(y,0,\dots,0)| \le \exp\bigg(-\frac{C_\sigma}{4}\frac{y^{1/\sigma}}{\log y}\bigg)$$

as $y\to\infty$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\Psi}(y,0,\dots,0) &= \mathbb{E}\bigg(\exp\bigg[iy\log\bigg|\frac{c_1L_1}{c_JL_J}(\sigma,X)\bigg|\bigg]\bigg)\\
&= \mathbb{E}\bigg(\exp\bigg[iy\bigg(\log\bigg|\frac{c_1}{c_J}\bigg| + \Re\sum_{p,n}\frac{(a_1(p^n)-a_J(p^n))X(p)^n}{p^{n\sigma}}\bigg)\bigg]\bigg)\\
&= \bigg|\frac{c_1}{c_J}\bigg|^{iy}\prod_p\mathbb{E}\bigg(\exp\bigg[iy\bigg(\Re\sum_n\frac{(a_1(p^n)-a_J(p^n))X(p)^n}{p^{n\sigma}}\bigg)\bigg]\bigg).
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that for each p

$$\left|\mathbb{E}\Big(\exp\Big[iy\Big(\Re\sum_n \frac{(a_1(p^n)-a_J(p^n))X(p)^n}{p^{n\sigma}}\Big)\Big]\Big)\right| \le 1.$$

We next show that there are a number of p for which

$$\left|\mathbb{E}\Big(\exp\Big[iy\Big(\Re\sum_n \frac{(a_1(p^n)-a_J(p^n))X(p)^n}{p^{n\sigma}}\Big)\Big]\Big)\right| \le e^{-1/3}.$$

Since $a_j(p)$ is a real number for every prime p and for each $j \le J$, we have for $y \le p^{2\sigma}/2$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\Big(\exp\Big[iy\Big(\Re\sum_n \frac{(a_1(p^n)-a_J(p^n))X(p)^n}{p^{n\sigma}}\Big)\Big]\Big)\\
&\qquad = \mathbb{E}\Big(\exp\Big[iy\Big(\frac{a_1(p)-a_J(p)}{p^\sigma}\Re X(p)\Big)+O\Big(\frac{y}{p^{2\sigma}}\Big)\Big]\Big)\\
&\qquad = \mathbb{E}\Big(\exp\Big[iy\Big(\frac{a_1(p)-a_J(p)}{p^\sigma}\Re X(p)\Big)\Big]\Big)+O\Big(\frac{y}{p^{2\sigma}}\Big)\\
&\qquad = J_0\Big(\frac{a_1(p)-a_J(p)}{p^\sigma}y\Big)+O\Big(\frac{y}{p^{2\sigma}}\Big),
\end{aligned}$$

where J_0 is the Bessel function of order 0.

Recall that $a_j(p) = 2\Re\chi_j(\mathfrak{p})$ if p splits and $\mathfrak{p}|p$. Since $\chi_1 \ne \chi_J$ and $\chi_1 \ne \overline{\chi_J}$, there is an ideal class $\mathcal{C}$ such that $\chi_1(\mathfrak{p}) \ne \chi_J(\mathfrak{p})$ and $\chi_1(\mathfrak{p}) \ne \overline{\chi_J(\mathfrak{p})}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{C}$. Since $|\chi_j(\mathfrak{p})| = 1$, we see that

$$a_1(p) - a_J(p) = 2\Re(\chi_1(\mathfrak{p}) - \chi_J(\mathfrak{p})) = a \ne 0$$

for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathfrak{p}|p$. Using the crude inequality

$$|J_0(x)| \le e^{-1/2} \qquad (x \ge 2),$$

we find that

$$\left|J_0\Big(\frac{a_1(p)-a_J(p)}{p^\sigma}y\Big)+O\Big(\frac{y}{p^{2\sigma}}\Big)\right| \le e^{-1/2}+O\Big(\frac{y}{p^{2\sigma}}\Big) \le e^{-1/3},$$

provided that p, with $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathfrak{p}|p$, satisfies the conditions

$$\frac{ay}{p^\sigma} \ge 2 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \frac{y}{p^{2\sigma}} \le c$$

for some small constant $c > 0$. Therefore

$$|\widehat{\Psi}(y, 0, \dots, 0)| \le \prod_{p \in \mathscr{P}} e^{-1/3},$$

where $\mathscr{P}$ is the set of all p with $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{C}$, $\mathfrak{p}|p$, and

$$(y/c)^{1/(2\sigma)} \le p \le (ay/2)^{1/\sigma}.$$

By Lemma 2.6 of [7], for example, there are

$$\frac{1}{h(D)}\frac{(ay/2)^{1/\sigma}}{\log(ay/2)^{1/\sigma}}(1+o(1)) \sim C_\sigma \frac{y^{1/\sigma}}{\log y}$$

such p as $y \to \infty$. Thus,

$$|\widehat{\Psi}(y,0,\dots,0)| \le \exp\Big(-\frac{C_\sigma}{4}\frac{y^{1/\sigma}}{\log y}\Big)$$

as $y \to \infty$.

□

4.2 Completion of the proof of Theorem 2

We can now prove Theorem 2. For a sufficiently large constant $A > 0$ the set

$$\big\{t \in [T,2T] : \mathbf{L}(\sigma+it) \notin [-A\mathscr{L}, A\mathscr{L}]^{2J}\big\}$$

has small measure by Lemma 7. Similarly, by Lemma 8

$$\mathbb{P}\big(\mathbf{L}(\sigma,X) \notin [-A\mathscr{L}, A\mathscr{L}]^{2J}\big)$$

is small. Thus it is enough to consider rectangular regions R contained in $[-A\mathscr{L}, A\mathscr{L}]^{2J}$.

Let $\eta = b_1(\log T)^\sigma$, where b_1 is a positive constant such that $b = 2\pi b_1$ satisfies Lemma 9, and define

$$G(u) = \frac{2u}{\pi} + 2(1-u)u\cot(\pi u)$$

for $0 \le u \le 1$. By Lemma 4.1 of [10], the characteristic function of the interval $[\alpha,\beta]$ is

$$\mathbf{1}_{[\alpha,\beta]}(x) = \Im\int_0^\eta G(u/\eta)e^{2\pi i u x} f_{\alpha,\beta}(u)\frac{du}{u} + O\bigg\{\bigg(\frac{\sin\pi\eta(x-\alpha)}{\pi\eta(x-\alpha)}\bigg)^2 + \bigg(\frac{\sin\pi\eta(x-\beta)}{\pi\eta(x-\beta)}\bigg)^2\bigg\},$$

where $f_{\alpha,\beta}(u) = (e^{-2\pi i\alpha u} - e^{-2\pi i\beta u})/2$. Thus the characteristic function of the rectangular region $R = \prod_{j\le J}[\alpha_j,\beta_j] \times \prod_{j\le J}[\alpha'_j,\beta'_j]$ is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{1}_R(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) =& W_{\eta,R}(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) + \sum_{j\le J} O\bigg\{\bigg(\frac{\sin\pi\eta(u_j-\alpha_j)}{\pi\eta(u_j-\alpha_j)}\bigg)^2 + \bigg(\frac{\sin\pi\eta(u_j-\beta_j)}{\pi\eta(u_j-\beta_j)}\bigg)^2 \\ &+ \bigg(\frac{\sin\pi\eta(v_j-\alpha'_j)}{\pi\eta(v_j-\alpha'_j)}\bigg)^2 + \bigg(\frac{\sin\pi\eta(v_j-\beta'_j)}{\pi\eta(v_j-\beta'_j)}\bigg)^2\bigg\},\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

where

$$W_{\eta,R}(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) := \prod_{j\le J}\bigg(\Im\int_0^\eta G(u/\eta)e^{2\pi i u u_j} f_{\alpha_j,\beta_j}(u)\frac{du}{u}\bigg) \times \prod_{j\le J}\bigg(\Im\int_0^\eta G(u/\eta)e^{2\pi i u v_j} f_{\alpha'_j,\beta'_j}(u)\frac{du}{u}\bigg). \tag{4.6}$$

Assuming that $R = \prod_{j\le J}[\alpha_j,\beta_j]\times\prod_{j\le J}[\alpha'_j,\beta'_j]\subset[-A\mathscr{L},A\mathscr{L}]^{2J}$, we see that

$$\Psi_T(R)=\frac{1}{T}\int_T^{2T}\mathbf{1}_R(\mathbf{L}(\sigma+it))dt=\frac{1}{T}\int_T^{2T}W_{\eta,R}(\mathbf{L}(\sigma+it))dt+\mathscr{E}_1$$

and

$$\Psi(R)=\mathbb{E}\big(W_{\eta,R}(\mathbf{L}(\sigma,X))\big)+\mathscr{E}_2,$$

where $\mathscr{E}_1$ and $\mathscr{E}_2$ are the error terms arising from the O-terms in (4.5).

To estimate these error terms we begin with the identity

$$\frac{\sin^2(\pi\eta x)}{(\pi\eta x)^2}=\Re\bigg[\frac{1}{2\pi^2\eta^2}\int_0^{2\pi\eta}(2\pi\eta-y)e^{ixy}dy\bigg].$$

A typical term in $\mathscr{E}_1$ is

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{T}\int_T^{2T}&\frac{\sin^2(\pi\eta(\log|c_1L_1/c_JL_J(s)|-\alpha_1))}{(\pi\eta(\log|c_1L_1/c_JL_J(s)|-\alpha_1))^2}dt\\&=\Re\bigg(\frac{1}{2\pi^2\eta^2}\int_0^{2\pi\eta}(2\pi\eta-y)\frac{1}{T}\int_T^{2T}e^{iy(\log|c_1L_1/c_JL_J(s)|-\alpha_1)}dtdy\bigg)\\&=\Re\bigg(\frac{1}{2\pi^2\eta^2}\int_0^{2\pi\eta}(2\pi\eta-y)e^{-iy\alpha_1}\widehat{\Psi}_T(y,0,\dots,0)dy\bigg).\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 9 this equals

$$\Re\bigg(\frac{1}{2\pi^2\eta^2}\int_0^{2\pi\eta}(2\pi\eta-y)e^{-iy\alpha_1}\widehat{\Psi}(y,0,\dots,0)dy\bigg)+O((\log T)^{-A}).$$

Note that this is also a typical term in $\mathscr{E}_2$. By Lemma 10 this is

$$\begin{aligned}&\ll\frac{1}{\eta^2}\int_0^2(2\pi\eta-y)dy+\frac{1}{\eta^2}\int_2^{2\pi\eta}(2\pi\eta-y)\exp\bigg(-\frac{C_\sigma}{4}\frac{y^{1/\sigma}}{\log y}\bigg)dy+O((\log T)^{-A})\\&\ll\frac{1}{\eta}.\end{aligned}$$

All the other terms in $\mathscr{E}_1$ and $\mathscr{E}_2$ are estimated similarly. Thus, it is enough to show that

$$\frac{1}{T}\int_T^{2T}W_{\eta,R}(\mathbf{L}(\sigma+it))dt=\mathbb{E}\big(W_{\eta,R}(\mathbf{L}(\sigma,X))\big)+O(1/\eta).$$

Using $\Im z=(z-\bar z)/2i$ to rewrite the imaginary parts in (4.6), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}W_{\eta,R}(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})=&(-4)^{-J}\sum_{\epsilon_j,\epsilon'_j=\pm1}\bigg\{\prod_{j\le J}\bigg(\int_0^\eta G(w_j/\eta)\epsilon_je^{\epsilon_j2\pi iw_ju_j}f_{\epsilon_j\alpha_j,\epsilon_j\beta_j}(w_j)\frac{dw_j}{w_j}\bigg)\\&\qquad\times\prod_{j\le J}\bigg(\int_0^\eta G(z_j/\eta)\epsilon'_je^{\epsilon'_j2\pi iz_jv_j}f_{\epsilon'_j\alpha'_j,\epsilon'_j\beta'_j}(z_j)\frac{dz_j}{z_j}\bigg)\bigg\}\\=&(-4)^{-J}\sum_{\epsilon_j,\epsilon'_j=\pm1}\int_{[0,\eta]^{2J}}\prod_{j\le J}\Big\{G(w_j/\eta)G(z_j/\eta)\epsilon_j\epsilon'_jf_{\epsilon_j\alpha_j,\epsilon_j\beta_j}(w_j)f_{\epsilon'_j\alpha'_j,\epsilon'_j\beta'_j}(z_j)\Big\}\\&\qquad\times\exp\bigg(\sum_{j\le J}\epsilon_j2\pi iw_ju_j+\sum_{j\le J}\epsilon'_j2\pi iz_jv_j\bigg)\frac{dw_1}{w_1}\cdots\frac{dw_J}{w_J}\frac{dz_1}{z_1}\cdots\frac{dz_J}{z_J}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{T}\int_T^{2T} & W_{\eta,R}(\mathbf{L}(\sigma+it))dt \\ &=(-4)^{-J}\sum_{\epsilon_j,\epsilon_j'=\pm 1}\int_{[0,\eta]^{2J}}\prod_{j\le J}\big(G(w_j/\eta)G(z_j/\eta)\epsilon_j\epsilon_j' f_{\epsilon_j\alpha_j,\epsilon_j\beta_j}(w_j)f_{\epsilon_j'\alpha_j',\epsilon_j'\beta_j'}(z_j)\big) \\ &\qquad \widehat{\Psi}_T(2\pi\epsilon_1 w_1,\dots,2\pi\epsilon_J w_J,2\pi\epsilon_1' z_1,\dots,2\pi\epsilon_J' z_J)\frac{dw_1}{w_1}\cdots\frac{dw_J}{w_J}\frac{dz_1}{z_1}\cdots\frac{dz_J}{z_J}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\big(W_{\eta,R}(\mathbf{L}(\sigma,X))\big) \\ &\quad=(-4)^{-J}\sum_{\epsilon_j,\epsilon_j'=\pm 1}\int_{[0,\eta]^{2J}}\prod_{j\le J}\big(G(w_j/\eta)G(z_j/\eta)\epsilon_j\epsilon_j' f_{\epsilon_j\alpha_j,\epsilon_j\beta_j}(w_j)f_{\epsilon_j'\alpha_j',\epsilon_j'\beta_j'}(z_j)\big) \\ &\qquad \widehat{\Psi}(2\pi\epsilon_1 w_1,\dots,2\pi\epsilon_J w_J,2\pi\epsilon_1' z_1,\dots,2\pi\epsilon_J' z_J)\frac{dw_1}{w_1}\cdots\frac{dw_J}{w_J}\frac{dz_1}{z_1}\cdots\frac{dz_J}{z_J}.\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\widehat{\Psi}_T(\mathbf{w},\mathbf{z})-\widehat{\Psi}(\mathbf{w},\mathbf{z})=O((\log T)^{-A})$$

for all $|w_j|,|z_j|\le\eta$ by Lemma 9, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{T}\int_T^{2T} W_{\eta,R}(\mathbf{L}(\sigma+it))dt-\mathbb{E}\big(W_{\eta,R}(\mathbf{L}(\sigma,X))\big) \\ \ll&\sum_{\epsilon_j,\epsilon_j'=\pm 1}\int_{[0,\eta]^{2J}}\prod_{j\le J}\big|G(w_j/\eta)G(z_j/\eta)f_{\epsilon_j\alpha_j,\epsilon_j\beta_j}(w_j)f_{\epsilon_j'\alpha_j',\epsilon_j'\beta_j'}(z_j)\big|\frac{1}{(\log T)^A}\frac{dw_1}{w_1}\cdots\frac{dw_J}{w_J}\frac{dz_1}{z_1}\cdots\frac{dz_J}{z_J} \\ \ll&\frac{\eta^{2J}\mathscr{L}^{2J}}{(\log T)^A} \\ \ll&1/\eta,\end{aligned}\tag{4.7}$$

provided we choose $A>0$ sufficiently large. Here, we have used the inequalities

$$0\le G(x)\le 2/\pi$$

for $x\in[0,1]$ and

$$f_{\alpha,\beta}(u)\ll|(\beta-\alpha)u|\ll\mathscr{L}|u|.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

5 Proof of Theorem 1

Let $J\ge 2$ and for each $j\le J$ define

$$S_j(T)=\{t\in[T,2T]:|c_jL_j(\sigma+it)|\ge|c_iL_i(\sigma+it)|\text{ for all }i\le J\}.$$

By the inclusion-exclusion principle we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{T}\int_T^{2T}\log|E(\sigma+it,Q)|dt = &\sum_{1\le j\le J}\frac{1}{T}\int_{S_j(T)}\log|E(\sigma+it,Q)|dt\\ &-\sum_{1\le j_1<j_2\le J}\frac{1}{T}\int_{S_{j_1}(T)\cap S_{j_2}(T)}\log|E(\sigma+it,Q)|dt \qquad (5.1)\\ &+\sum_{1\le j_1<j_2<j_3\le J}\frac{1}{T}\int_{S_{j_1}(T)\cap S_{j_2}(T)\cap S_{j_3}(T)}\log|E(\sigma+it,Q)|dt\\ &+\cdots\\ &+(-1)^{J-1}\frac{1}{T}\int_{S_1(T)\cap S_2(T)\cap\cdots\cap S_J(T)}\log|E(\sigma+it,Q)|dt.\end{aligned}$$

We first show that for $1\le i\ne j\le J$ we have

$$\int_{S_i(T)\cap S_j(T)}|\log|E(\sigma+it,Q)||dt\ll T\frac{\mathscr{L}^2}{(\log T)^\sigma}.$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $i=1$ and $j=J$. By Hölder's inequality and Lemma 6

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{S_1(T)\cap S_J(T)}|\log|E(\sigma+it,Q)||\,dt\\ &\qquad\ll\left(\int_T^{2T}|\log|E(\sigma+it,Q)||^{2k}dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2k}}\times\operatorname{meas}\left(S_1(T)\cap S_J(T)\right)^{1-\frac{1}{2k}} \qquad (5.2)\\ &\qquad\ll k^2\,T^{\frac{1}{2k}}\left(\operatorname{meas}\left(S_1(T)\cap S_J(T)\right)\right)^{1-\frac{1}{2k}}.\end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}S_1(T)\cap S_J(T)&\subseteq\{\,t\in[T,2T]:|c_1L_1(s)|=|c_JL_J(s)|\,\}\\ &=\{\,t\in[T,2T]:\log|c_1L_1(s)/c_JL_J(s)|=0\}\\ &=\{\,t\in[T,2T]:\mathbf{L}(s)\in\{0\}\times\mathbb{R}^{2J-1}\}.\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2, and since Ψ is absolutely continuous, the measure of this set equals

$$T\,\Psi\big(\{0\}\times\mathbb{R}^{2J-1}\big)+O(T(\log T)^{-\sigma})=O(T(\log T)^{-\sigma}).$$

Hence

$$\operatorname{meas}\left(S_1(T)\cap S_J(T)\right)\ll T(\log T)^{-\sigma}.$$

Thus, by (5.2) we see that

$$\int_{S_1(T)\cap S_J(T)}|\log|E(\sigma+it,Q)||\,dt\ll k^2T\left(\frac{1}{(\log T)^\sigma}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{2k}}.$$

Taking $k=\mathscr{L}$, we obtain

$$\int_{S_1(T)\cap S_J(T)}|\log|E(\sigma+it,Q)||\,dt\ll T\,\frac{\mathscr{L}^2}{(\log T)^\sigma},$$

as claimed.

Clearly this bound applies to all the integrals on the right-hand side of (5.1) that involve two or more $S_i(T)$'s. Thus we see that

$$\frac{1}{T}\int_T^{2T}\log|E(\sigma+it,Q)|dt=\sum_{j\le J}\frac{1}{T}\int_{S_j(T)}\log|E(\sigma+it,Q)|dt+O\Big(\frac{2^J\mathscr{L}^2}{(\log T)^\sigma}\Big).$$

Our next task is to estimate the individual terms here and, without loss of generality, we consider only the case $j=J$. We write

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{T}\int_{S_J(T)}\log|E(\sigma+it,Q)|dt\\ =&\frac{1}{T}\int_{S_J(T)}\log|c_JL_J(\sigma+it)|dt+\frac{1}{T}\int_{S_J(T)}\log\Big|1+\sum_{j<J}\frac{c_j}{c_J}\frac{L_j}{L_J}(\sigma+it)\Big|dt,\end{aligned}\tag{5.3}$$

and calculate the integrals on the right in the next two subsections.

5.1 The first integral in (5.3)

Recall that

$$S_J(T)=\big\{t\in[T,2T]:|c_JL_J(\sigma+it)|\ge|c_jL_j(\sigma+it)|,\ j<J\big\}.$$

and

$$I_T=(-A\mathscr{L},A\mathscr{L}].$$

We also define

$$I_T^-=(-A\mathscr{L},0].$$

By Lemma 6 we may restrict the range of integration to the set

$$\begin{aligned}S_{J,1}(T)=\Big\{t\in S_J(T):&\log|c_JL_J(\sigma+it)|\in I_T,\ \arg c_JL_J(\sigma+it)\in I_T,\\ &\log\Big|\frac{c_jL_j}{c_JL_J}(\sigma+it)\Big|\in I_T^-,\ \arg c_jL_j(\sigma+it)-\arg c_JL_J(\sigma+it)\in I_T,j<J\Big\}\end{aligned}$$

at the cost of an error term of size $O(T(\log T)^{-B})$. That is,

$$\frac{1}{T}\int_{S_J(T)}\log|c_JL_J(\sigma+it)|dt=\frac{1}{T}\int_{S_{J,1}(T)}\log|c_JL_J(\sigma+it)|dt+O((\log T)^{-B}).\tag{5.4}$$

Letting $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_J)$ and $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_J)$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{T}\int_{S_{J,1}(T)} \log|c_J L_J(\sigma+it)|\,dt \\
&= \int_{(I_T^-)^{J-1}\times I_T\times (I_T)^J} u_J\, d\Psi_T(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) \\
&= \int_{(I_T^-)^{J-1}\times I_T\times (I_T)^J} \left(\int_{-A\mathscr{L}}^{u_J} du - A\mathscr{L}\right) d\Psi_T(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) \\
&= \int_{(I_T^-)^{J-1}\times I_T\times (I_T)^J} \left(\int_{-A\mathscr{L}}^{u_J} du\right) d\Psi_T(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) - A\mathscr{L}\ \Psi_T\big((I_T^-)^{J-1}\times I_T\times (I_T)^J\big) \\
&= \int_{I_T}\left(\int_{(I_T^-)^{J-1}\times(u,A\mathscr{L}]\times (I_T)^J} d\Psi_T(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})\right) du - A\mathscr{L}\ \Psi_T\big((I_T^-)^{J-1}\times I_T\times (I_T)^J\big) \\
&= \int_{I_T} \Psi_T\big((I_T^-)^{J-1}\times(u,A\mathscr{L}]\times (I_T)^J\big) du - A\mathscr{L}\ \Psi_T\big((I_T^-)^{J-1}\times I_T\times (I_T)^J\big).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

Thus, by Lemma 2, the last line equals

$$\int_{I_T} \Psi\big((I_T^-)^{J-1}\times(u,A\mathscr{L}]\times (I_T)^J\big) du - A\mathscr{L}\ \Psi\big((I_T^-)^{J-1}\times I_T\times (I_T)^J\big) + O\left(\frac{\mathscr{L}}{(\log T)^\sigma}\right).$$

Now simply reverse all the steps leading to the last line of (5.5) to see that

$$\frac{1}{T}\int_{S_{J,1}(T)} \log|c_J L_J(\sigma+it)|\,dt = \int_{(I_T^-)^{J-1}\times I_T\times (I_T)^J} u_J\, d\Psi(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) + O\left(\frac{\mathscr{L}}{(\log T)^\sigma}\right).$$

Therefore, by (5.4)

$$\frac{1}{T}\int_{S_J(T)} \log|c_J L_J(\sigma+it)|\,dt = \int_{(I_T^-)^{J-1}\times I_T\times (I_T)^J} u_J\, d\Psi(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) + O\left(\frac{\mathscr{L}}{(\log T)^\sigma}\right). \tag{5.6}$$

5.2 The second integral in (5.3)

As with the first integral in (5.3), we begin by limiting the range of integration. Let

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{J,2}(T) :=& \big\{t\in[T,2T]) : \log|c_J L_J(\sigma+it)| \in I_T, \arg c_J L_J(\sigma+it)\in I_T, \\
&\quad \log|c_j L_j/c_J L_J(\sigma+it)|\in I_T^-, \arg c_j L_j(\sigma+it) - c_J L_J(\sigma+it)\in I_T \text{ for all } j<J\big\} \\
\subseteq& S_J(T) = \big\{t\in[T,2T] : |c_J L_J(\sigma+it)| \ge |c_j L_j(\sigma+it)|,\ j<J\big\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{T}\int_{S_J(T)} \log\left|1+\sum_{j<J}\frac{c_j}{c_J}\frac{L_j}{L_J}(\sigma+it)\right| dt = \frac{1}{T}\int_{\mathcal{S}_{J,2}(T)} \log\left|1+\sum_{j<J}\frac{c_j}{c_J}\frac{L_j}{L_J}(\sigma+it)\right| dt + O((\log T)^{-B})$$

by Lemmas 6 and 7.

Let $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_J)$ and $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_J)$ be two vectors in $\mathbb{R}^J$. We would like to show that

$$\frac{1}{T}\int_{S_{J,2}(T)} \log\left|1+\sum_{j<J}\frac{c_j}{c_J}\frac{L_j}{L_J}(\sigma+it)\right|dt = \int_{(I_T^-)^{J-1}\times I_T\times (I_T)^J} \log\left|1+\sum_{j\le J-1} e^{u_j+iv_j}\right| d\Psi_T(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}),$$

but this is not straightforward because the integrand has logarithmic singularities. To handle this we split the integral into small pieces by dividing the set $(I_T^-)^{J-1}\times I_T\times (I_T)^J$ into at most $O(\mathscr{L})$ rectangular regions.

Let $\delta = (\log T)^{-c_0}$ with a small constant $c_0 > 0$. Let $\mathbf{m}_{J-2} = (m_1, \dots, m_{J-2})$ and $\mathbf{n}_{J-2} = (n_1, \dots, n_{J-2})$ be two vectors in $\mathbb{Z}^{J-2}$ and $\mathbf{u}_{J-2} = (u_1, \dots, u_{J-2})$ and $\mathbf{v}_{J-2} = (v_1, \dots, v_{J-2})$ be projections of the vectors $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_J)$ and $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_J)$ into $\mathbb{R}^{J-2}$. Define a $(2J-4)$-dimensional rectangular region

$$Rect(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}) := \big\{(\mathbf{u}_{J-2},\mathbf{v}_{J-2}) \in (I_T^-)^{J-2}\times (I_T)^{J-2} :\ m_j\delta < e^{u_j} \le (m_j+1)\delta, \\ n_j\delta < v_j \le (n_j+1)\delta \text{ for all } j \le J-2\big\}$$

for $0 \le m_j \le 1/\delta - 1$, $|n_j| \le A\mathscr{L}/\delta$, and $j \le J-2$. Then

$$(I_T^-)^{J-2}\times (I_T)^{J-2} = \bigcup_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}} Rect(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}).$$

If $m < 1/\delta$, the set

$$\big\{e^{u+iv} \in \mathbb{C}\ :\ m\delta < e^u \le (m+1)\delta,\ n\delta < v \le (n+1)\delta\big\}$$

has diameter $\le \delta$. The set

$$\left\{1+\sum_{j\le J-2} e^{u_j+iv_j} \in \mathbb{C}\ :\ (\mathbf{u}_{J-2},\mathbf{v}_{J-2}) \in Rect(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n})\right\}$$

is therefore contained in a circle in $\mathbb{C}$ of radius at most $J\delta$. Let s_0 be the center of this circle. Then since each $u_j \le 0$, we have $|s_0| \le J-1$. We consider four cases depending on the size of $|s_0|$.

Case 1: $|s_0| \le 10J\delta$**.** Define

$$R_{main}(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}) = \{(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) \in (I_T^-)^{J-1}\times I_T\times (I_T)^J :\ (\mathbf{u}_{J-2},\mathbf{v}_{J-2}) \in Rect(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}),\ 12J\delta < e^{u_{J-1}} \le 1\}$$

and

$$R_{error}(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}) = \{(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) \in (I_T^-)^{J-1}\times I_T\times (I_T)^J :\ (\mathbf{u}_{J-2},\mathbf{v}_{J-2}) \in Rect(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}),\ e^{-A\mathscr{L}} < e^{u_{J-1}} \le 12J\delta\},$$

so that

$$(I_T^-)^{J-1}\times I_T\times (I_T)^J = \bigcup_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}} \Big(R_{main}(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n})\bigcup R_{error}(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n})\Big).$$

Then

$$\left|1+\sum_{j\le J-1} e^{u_j+iv_j}\right| \ge e^{u_{J-1}} - \left|1+\sum_{j\le J-2} e^{u_j+iv_j}\right| \ge J\delta \tag{5.7}$$

for $(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) \in R_{main}(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n})$, and

$$\left|1+\sum_{j\le J-1} e^{u_j+iv_j}\right| \le \left|1+\sum_{j\le J-2} e^{u_j+iv_j}\right| + e^{u_{J-1}} \le 23J\delta$$

for $(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) \in R_{error}(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n})$. Observe that

$$\log\left|1+\sum_{j\le J-1} e^{u_j+iv_j}\right|$$

may have singularities on $R_{error}(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n})$, but not on $R_{main}(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n})$ because of (5.7).

Case 2: $10J\delta < |s_0| \le 1-2J\delta$**.** In this case the inequality

$$\left|1+\sum_{j\le J-1} e^{u_j+iv_j}\right| \ge J\delta$$

holds if $|e^{u_{J-1}+iv_{J-1}}+s_0| \ge 2J\delta$. We define

$$R_{main}(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}) = R_1(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}) \bigcup R_2(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}) \bigcup \Big(\bigcup_{\substack{l\in\mathbb{Z} \\ |l|\le A\mathscr{L}/2\pi}} R_{3,l}(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}) \Big),$$

where

$$R_1(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}) = \{(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) \in (I_T^-)^{J-1}\times I_T\times (I_T)^J : (\mathbf{u}_{J-2},\mathbf{v}_{J-2}) \in Rect(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}), e^{-A\mathscr{L}} < e^{u_{J-1}} \le |s_0|-2J\delta\},$$

$$R_2(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}) = \{(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) \in (I_T^-)^{J-1}\times I_T\times (I_T)^J : (\mathbf{u}_{J-2},\mathbf{v}_{J-2}) \in Rect(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}), |s_0|+2J\delta < e^{u_{J-1}} \le 1\},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} R_{3,l}(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}) = \{(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) \in & (I_T^-)^{J-1}\times I_T\times (I_T)^J : (\mathbf{u}_{J-2},\mathbf{v}_{J-2}) \in Rect(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}), |s_0|-2J\delta < e^{u_{J-1}} \le |s_0|+2J\delta, \\ & -(\pi-\arcsin(2J\delta/|s_0|)) < v_{J-1}-\arg s_0 - 2\pi l \le \pi - \arcsin(2J\delta/|s_0|)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Also define

$$\begin{aligned} R_{error}(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}) = \bigcup_{\substack{l\in\mathbb{Z} \\ |l|\le A\mathscr{L}/2\pi}} \{(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) \in & (I_T^-)^{J-1}\times I_T\times (I_T)^J : (\mathbf{u}_{J-2},\mathbf{v}_{J-2}) \in Rect(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}), \\ & |s_0|-2J\delta < e^{u_{J-1}} \le |s_0|+2J\delta, \\ & -\arcsin(2J\delta/|s_0|) < v_{J-1}-\arg s_0 - (2l+1)\pi \le \arcsin(2J\delta/|s_0|)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we see that the sets $R_{main}(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n})$ and $R_{error}(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n})$ are each unions of roughly $A\mathscr{L}/\pi$ rectangular regions, and that

$$\left|1+\sum_{j\le J-1} e^{u_j+iv_j}\right| \ge J\delta$$

for $(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) \in R_{main}(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n})$, and

$$\left|1+\sum_{j\le J-1} e^{u_j+iv_j}\right| \le \left|1+\sum_{j\le J-2} e^{u_j+iv_j}-s_0\right| + \left|s_0+e^{u_{J-1}+iv_{J-1}}\right| \le 10J\delta$$

for $(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) \in R_{error}(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n})$.

Case 3: $1-2J\delta < |s_0| \le 1+2J\delta$**.** Similarly to Case 2, we define

$$R_{main}(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}) = R_1(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}) \bigcup \Big(\bigcup_{\substack{l\in\mathbb{Z} \\ |l|\le A\mathscr{L}/2\pi}} R_{4,l}(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}) \Big),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R_{4,l}(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}) = \{(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) \in & (I_T^-)^{J-1} \times I_T \times (I_T)^J : (\mathbf{u}_{J-2},\mathbf{v}_{J-2}) \in Rect(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}), |s_0| - 2J\delta < e^{u_{J-1}} \le 1, \\ & -(\pi - \arcsin(2J\delta/|s_0|)) < v_{J-1} - \arg s_0 - 2\pi l \le \pi - \arcsin(2J\delta/|s_0|)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Also define

$$\begin{aligned} R_{error}(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}) = \bigcup_{\substack{l\in\mathbb{Z} \\ |l|\le A\mathscr{L}/2\pi}} \{(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) \in & (I_T^-)^{J-1} \times I_T \times (I_T)^J : (\mathbf{u}_{J-2},\mathbf{v}_{J-2}) \in Rect(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}), \\ & |s_0| - 2J\delta < e^{u_{J-1}} \le 1, \\ & -\arcsin(2J\delta/|s_0|) < v_{J-1} - \arg s_0 - (2l+1)\pi \le \arcsin(2J\delta/|s_0|)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we see that the sets $R_{main}(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n})$ and $R_{error}(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n})$ are each unions of roughly $A\mathscr{L}/\pi$ rectangular regions, and that

$$\Big| 1 + \sum_{j\le J-1} e^{u_j+iv_j} \Big| \ge J\delta$$

for $(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) \in R_{main}(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n})$, and

$$\Big| 1 + \sum_{j\le J-1} e^{u_j+iv_j} \Big| \le \Big| 1 + \sum_{j\le J-2} e^{u_j+iv_j} - s_0 \Big| + \Big| s_0 + e^{u_{J-1}+iv_{J-1}} \Big| \le 10J\delta$$

for $(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) \in R_{error}(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n})$.

Case 4: $1+2J\delta < |s_0| \le J-1$**.** We define

$$R_{main}(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}) = \{(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) \in (I_T^-)^{J-1} \times I_T \times (I_T)^J : (\mathbf{u}_{J-2},\mathbf{v}_{J-2}) \in Rect(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n})\}$$

and

$$R_{error}(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}) = \emptyset.$$

Then

$$\Big| 1 + \sum_{j\le J-1} e^{u_j+iv_j} \Big| \ge \Big| 1 + \sum_{j\le J-1} e^{u_j+iv_j} \Big| - e^{u_{J-1}} \ge (|s_0| - J\delta) - 1 \ge J\delta$$

for $(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) \in R_{main}(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n})$.

Summarizing, we note that in each case, we have

$$\Big| 1 + \sum_{j\le J-1} e^{u_j+iv_j} \Big| \ge J\delta \tag{5.8}$$

if $(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) \in R_{main}(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n})$,

$$\left|1+\sum_{j\le J-1} e^{u_j+iv_j}\right| \le 23J\delta \tag{5.9}$$

if $(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) \in R_{error}(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n})$, and

$$(I_T^-)^{J-1}\times I_T \times (I_T)^J = \bigcup_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}} \Big(R_{main}(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}) \bigcup R_{error}(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n})\Big). \tag{5.10}$$

We also note that for each u_j with $j<J$ we have

$$e^{u_j} \le 1, \tag{5.11}$$

since $\mathbf{u} \in (I_T^-)^{J-1}\times I_T$ and $\mathbf{v}\in (I_T)^J$.

We now write

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{T}\int_{S_{J,2}(T)} \log\left|1+\sum_{j<J}\frac{c_j}{c_J}\frac{L_j}{L_J}(\sigma+it)\right|dt =& \frac{1}{T}\int_{S_{main}(T)} \log\left|1+\sum_{j<J}\frac{c_j}{c_J}\frac{L_j}{L_J}(\sigma+it)\right|dt\\ &+\frac{1}{T}\int_{S_{error}(T)} \log\left|1+\sum_{j<J}\frac{c_j}{c_J}\frac{L_j}{L_J}(\sigma+it)\right|dt,\end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

where

$$S_{main}(T) = \bigcup_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}} S_{main}(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n},T),$$

$$S_{error}(T) = \bigcup_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}} S_{error}(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n},T),$$

and

$$S_{main}(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n},T) = \{t\in[T,2T] : \mathbf{L}(\sigma+it)\in R_{main}(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n})\},$$
$$S_{error}(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n},T) = \{t\in[T,2T] : \mathbf{L}(\sigma+it)\in R_{error}(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n})\}.$$

Here, the $(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n})$-sum and the $(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n})$-union are over $0\le m_j\le 1/\delta-1$ and $|n_j|\le A\mathscr{L}/\delta$ for $j\le J-2$.

The main term: For each $\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}$, we have

$$\frac{1}{T}\int_{S_{main}(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n},T)} \log\left|1+\sum_{j<J}\frac{c_j}{c_J}\frac{L_j}{L_J}(\sigma+it)\right|dt = \int_{R_{main}(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n})} \log\left|1+\sum_{j<J} e^{u_j+iv_j}\right| d\Psi_T(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}),$$

and we wish to express this in terms of the distribution function $\Psi(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})$. Since each $R_{main}(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n})$ is a union of rectangular regions, we let

$$R = \prod_{j\le 2J}(a_j,b_j]$$

be one of them and consider

$$\int_R \log\left|1+\sum_{j<J} e^{u_j+iv_j}\right| d\Psi_T(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}).$$

Our argument is similar to that in Subsection 5.1, but slightly more complicated. For $\mathbf{w} = (w_1,\dots,w_{2J})$ define

$$\begin{aligned} h_0(\mathbf{w}) &= \log\left|1+\sum_{j<J} e^{w_j+iw_{J+j}}\right|, \\ h_1(\mathbf{w}) &= h_0(a_1, w_2, \dots, w_{2J}), \\ h_2(\mathbf{w}) &= h_0(a_1, a_2, w_3, \dots, w_{2J}), \\ &\vdots \\ h_{2J}(\mathbf{w}) &= h_0(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2J}). \end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

Notice that h_{2J} is a constant function and

$$h_0(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{j=0}^{2J-1} \big(h_j(\mathbf{w}) - h_{j+1}(\mathbf{w})\big) + h_{2J}(\mathbf{w}).$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_R \log\left|1+\sum_{j<J} e^{u_j+iv_j}\right| d\Psi_T(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) &= \int_R h_0(\mathbf{w}) d\Psi_T(\mathbf{w}) \\ &= \int_R \left(\sum_{j=0}^{2J-1} \big(h_j(\mathbf{w}) - h_{j+1}(\mathbf{w})\big) + h_{2J}(\mathbf{w}) \right) d\Psi_T(\mathbf{w}) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{2J-1} \int_R \big(h_j(\mathbf{w}) - h_{j+1}(\mathbf{w})\big) d\Psi_T(\mathbf{w}) + h_{2J}(\mathbf{a})\Psi_T(R), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{a} = (a_1,\dots,a_{2J})$. Now, letting $\widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_{j+1} = (w_1,\dots,w_j,\widetilde{w}_{j+1},w_{j+2},\dots,w_{2J})$, we have

$$h_j(\mathbf{w}) - h_{j+1}(\mathbf{w}) = \int_{a_{j+1}}^{w_{j+1}} \frac{\partial h_j(\widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_{j+1})}{\partial \widetilde{w}_{j+1}} d\widetilde{w}_{j+1}.$$

By (5.8), (5.11), and (5.13), we have

$$\frac{\partial h_j(\mathbf{w})}{\partial w_{j+1}} \ll \left|1+\sum_{1\le j\le J-1} e^{w_j+iw_{J+j}}\right|^{-1} \ll \delta^{-1}$$

on $R = \prod_{j\le 2J}(a_j,b_j]$. Thus, if

$$R_{j+1}(\widetilde{w}_{j+1}) := (a_1,b_1]\times\cdots\times(a_j,b_j]\times(\widetilde{w}_{j+1},b_{j+1}]\times(a_{j+2},b_{j+2}]\times\cdots\times(a_{2J-2},b_{2J-2}],$$

we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_R \big(h_j(\mathbf{w}) - h_{j+1}(\mathbf{w})\big) d\Psi_T(\mathbf{w}) \\
&= \int_R \left(\int_{a_{j+1}}^{w_{j+1}} \frac{\partial h_j(\widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_{j+1})}{\partial \widetilde{w}_{j+1}} d\widetilde{w}_{j+1} \right) d\Psi_T(\mathbf{w}) \\
&= \int_{a_{j+1}}^{b_{j+1}} \left(\int_{R_{j+1}(\widetilde{w}_{j+1})} d\Psi_T(\mathbf{w}) \right) \frac{\partial h_j(\widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_{j+1})}{\partial \widetilde{w}_{j+1}} d\widetilde{w}_{j+1} \\
&= \int_{a_{j+1}}^{b_{j+1}} \Psi_T(R_{j+1}(\widetilde{w}_{j+1})) \frac{\partial h_j(\widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_{j+1})}{\partial \widetilde{w}_{j+1}} d\widetilde{w}_{j+1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

Now by Theorem 2,

$$\Psi_T(R_{j+1}(\widetilde{w}_{j+1})) - \Psi(R_{j+1}(\widetilde{w}_{j+1})) = O((\log T)^{-\sigma}).$$

Reversing our steps, we see that the last line in (5.14) equals

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{a_{j+1}}^{b_{j+1}} \Psi(R_{j+1}(\tilde{w}_{j+1})) \frac{\partial h_j(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{j+1})}{\partial \tilde{w}_{j+1}} d\tilde{w}_{j+1} + O\left(\frac{|b_{j+1} - a_{j+1}|}{\delta (\log T)^{\sigma}} \right) \\
&= \int_{a_{j+1}}^{b_{j+1}} \left(\int_{R_{j+1}(\tilde{w}_{j+1})} d\Psi(\mathbf{w}) \right) \frac{\partial h_j(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{j+1})}{\partial \tilde{w}_{j+1}} d\tilde{w}_{j+1} + O\left(\frac{\mathscr{L}}{\delta (\log T)^{\sigma}} \right) \\
&= \int_R \left(\int_{a_{j+1}}^{w_{j+1}} \frac{\partial h_j(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{j+1})}{\partial \tilde{w}_{j+1}} d\tilde{w}_{j+1} \right) d\Psi(\mathbf{w}) + O\left(\frac{\mathscr{L}}{\delta (\log T)^{\sigma}} \right) \\
&= \int_R \big(h_j(\mathbf{w}) - h_{j+1}(\mathbf{w})\big) d\Psi(\mathbf{w}) + O\left(\frac{\mathscr{L}}{\delta (\log T)^{\sigma}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\int_R \log \left| 1 + \sum_{j<J} e^{u_j + i v_j} \right| d\Psi_T(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = \int_R h_0(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) d\Psi(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) + O\left(\frac{\mathscr{L}}{\delta (\log T)^{\sigma}} \right).$$

Since $R_{main}(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n})$ is a union of at most $O(\mathscr{L})$ rectangles R, we have

$$\int_{R_{main}(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n})} \log \left| 1 + \sum_{j \le J-1} e^{u_j + i v_j} \right| d\Psi_T(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = \int_{R_{main}(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n})} h_0(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) d\Psi(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) + O\left(\frac{\mathscr{L}^2}{\delta (\log T)^{\sigma}} \right). \tag{5.15}$$

The error term: We bound

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_{S_{error}(T)} \log \left| 1 + \sum_{j<J} \frac{c_j}{c_J} \frac{L_j}{L_J} (\sigma + it) \right| dt$$

by using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and showing that $\mathrm{meas}(S_{error}(T))$ is small.

If $t \in S_{error}(T)$, then $\mathbf{L}(\sigma + it) \in R_{error}(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n})$ for some $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}$. Thus, by (5.9)

$$\log \left| 1 + \sum_{j<J} \frac{c_j}{c_J} \frac{L_j}{L_J} (\sigma + it) \right| \le \log(23 J \delta) = -c_0 \mathscr{L} + \log(23J).$$

By Lemma 6

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{T}\int_T^{2T}\left|\log\left|1+\sum_{j<J}\frac{c_j}{c_J}\frac{L_j}{L_J}(\sigma+it)\right|\right|^{2k}dt\\
&\leq 4^k\frac{1}{T}\int_T^{2T}\left|\log\left|\sum_{j\leq J}c_jL_j(\sigma+it)\right|\right|^{2k}dt+4^k\frac{1}{T}\int_T^{2T}\left|\log\left|c_JL_J(\sigma+it)\right|\right|^{2k}dt\\
&\ll (Ck)^{4k}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{meas}(S_{error}(T))&\leq\int_{S_{error}(T)}\left(\frac{\log\left|1+\sum_{j<J}\frac{c_j}{c_J}\frac{L_j}{L_J}(\sigma+it)\right|}{c_0\mathscr{L}}\right)^{2k}dt\\
&\ll T\left(\frac{C^2k^2}{c_0\mathscr{L}}\right)^{2k}.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking $k=a\sqrt{\mathscr{L}}$ for any $0<a<\sqrt{c_0}/C$, we see that

$$\frac{1}{T}|S_{error}(T)|\ll e^{-2b\sqrt{\mathscr{L}}} \tag{5.16}$$

with $b=2a\log(\sqrt{c_0}/aC)>0$. It now follows by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Lemma 6 (with $k=1$) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{T}\left|\int_{S_{error}(T)}\log\left|1+\sum_{j<J}\frac{c_j}{c_J}\frac{L_j}{L_J}(\sigma+it)\right|dt\right|\\
&\qquad\leq\left(\frac{1}{T}\mathrm{meas}(\mathrm{S_{error}(T)})\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{T}\int_T^{2T}\left(\log\left|1+\sum_{j<J}\frac{c_j}{c_J}\frac{L_j}{L_J}(\sigma+it)\right|\right)^2dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\qquad\ll e^{-b\sqrt{\mathscr{L}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

By (5.12), (5.15), and (5.16) we now see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{T}\int_{S_{J,2}(T)}\log\left|1+\sum_{j<J}\frac{c_j}{c_J}\frac{L_j}{L_J}(\sigma+it)\right|dt\\
&\qquad=\sum_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}}\int_{R_{main}(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n})}h_0(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})d\Psi(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})+O\left(\frac{\mathscr{L}^{J+1}}{(\log T)^{\sigma-(2J-3)c_0}}\right)+O(e^{-b\sqrt{\mathscr{L}}})\\
&\qquad=\sum_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}}\int_{R_{main}(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n})}h_0(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})d\Psi(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})+O(e^{-b\sqrt{\mathscr{L}}}).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.17}$$

Next we show that

$$\int_{R_{error}}h_0(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})d\Psi(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})=O(e^{-b\sqrt{\mathscr{L}}}), \tag{5.18}$$

where

$$R_{error} = \bigcup_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}} R_{error}(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}). \tag{5.19}$$

It will then follow from (5.10) and (5.17) – (5.19) that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{T}\int_{S_{J,2}(T)} \log\left|1+\sum_{j<J}\frac{c_j}{c_J}\frac{L_j}{L_J}(\sigma+it)\right|dt &= \int_{(I_T^-)^{J-1}\times I_T\times (I_T)^J} h_0(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})d\Psi(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) \\ &\quad + O(e^{-b\sqrt{\mathscr{L}}}).\end{aligned} \tag{5.20}$$

To prove (5.18), we first note that by Hölder's inequality

$$\left|\int_{R_{error}} h_0(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})d\Psi(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})\right| \le \left|\int_{R_{error}} |h_0(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})|^{2k}d\Psi(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})\right|^{1/2k}\left|\int_{R_{error}} d\Psi(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})\right|^{1-1/2k}.$$

By Lemma 8, the first integral on the right is

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_{R_{error}} |h_0(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})|^{2k}d\Psi(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})\right| &\le \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\log\left|1+\sum_{j<J}\frac{c_j}{c_J}\frac{L_j}{L_J}(\sigma,X)\right|\right|^{2k}\right] \\ &\le 4^k\left(\mathbb{E}\Big[\big|\log\big|c_JL_J(\sigma,X)\big|\big|^{2k}\Big] + \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\log\left|\sum_{j\le J}c_jL_j(\sigma,X)\right|\right|^{2k}\right]\right) \\ &\ll (Ck)^{2k}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.21}$$

Hence

$$\left|\int_{R_{error}} h_0(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})d\Psi(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})\right| \ll k\left|\int_{R_{error}} d\Psi(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})\right|^{1-1/2k}. \tag{5.22}$$

By (5.9) we see that for $(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) \in R_{error}$,

$$h_0(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) = \log\left|1+f\sum_{j<J}e^{u_j+iv_j}\right| \le \log(23J\delta) \le -c_0\mathscr{L} + \log(23J).$$

Thus

$$|h_0(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})| \ge c_0\mathscr{L} - \log(23J),$$

and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}0 \le \Psi(R_{error}) = \int_{R_{error}} d\Psi(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) &\le \int_{R_{error}} \frac{|h_0(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})|^{2k}}{(c_0\mathscr{L}-\log(23J))^{2k}}\,d\Psi(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) \\ &\ll \left(\frac{Ck}{c_0\mathscr{L}-\log(23J)}\right)^{2k},\end{aligned}$$

by (5.21). Using this in (5.22), we obtain

$$\left|\int_{R_{error}} h_0(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})d\Psi(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})\right| \ll \mathscr{L}\left(\frac{Ck}{c_0\mathscr{L}-\log(23J)}\right)^{2k}.$$

We now take $k = (c_0\mathscr{L}-\log(23J))/(eC)$ and find that

$$\left|\int_{R_{error}} h_0(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})d\Psi(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})\right| \ll \mathscr{L}e^{-2(c_0/eC)\mathscr{L}} \ll e^{-b\sqrt{\mathscr{L}}}.$$

This proves (5.18) and thus (5.20).

5.3 Completion of the proof of Theorem 1

By (5.3), (5.6), and (5.20),

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{T}\int_{S_J(T)}\log|E(\sigma+it,Q)|dt=&\int_{(I_T^-)^{J-1}\times I_T\times(I_T)^J}\left(u_J+\log\left|1+\sum_{j<J}e^{u_j+iv_J}\right|\right)d\Psi(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})\\&+O(e^{-b\sqrt{\mathscr{L}}})\\=&\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{1}_{\mathscr{I}_{J,T}}\cdot\left(\log|c_JL_J(\sigma,X)|+\log\left|1+\sum_{j<J}\frac{c_jL_j}{c_JL_J}(\sigma,X)\right|\right)\right]\\&+O(e^{-b\sqrt{\mathscr{L}}})\\=&\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{1}_{\mathscr{I}_{J,T}}\cdot\log\left|\sum_{j\le J}c_jL_j(\sigma,X)\right|\right]+O(e^{-b\sqrt{\mathscr{L}}}),\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathscr{I}_{J,T}$ is the event

$$\mathbf{L}(\sigma,X)\in(I_T^-)^{J-1}\times I_T\times(I_T)^J,$$

and $\mathbf{1}_{\mathscr{I}_{J,T}}$ is its characteristic function. By Lemma 8

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{1}_{\mathscr{I}_{J,T}}\cdot\log\left|\sum_{j\le J}c_jL_j(\sigma,X)\right|\right]+O(e^{-b\sqrt{\mathscr{L}}})=\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{1}_{\mathscr{I}_J}\cdot\log\left|\sum_{j\le J}c_jL_j(\sigma,X)\right|\right]+O(e^{-b\sqrt{\mathscr{L}}}),$$

where $\mathscr{I}_J$ is the event

$$\mathbf{L}(\sigma,X)\in(-\infty,0]^{J-1}\times\mathbb{R}^{J+1},$$

and $\mathbf{1}_{\mathscr{I}_J}$ is its characteristic function. Hence $\mathscr{I}_J$ is the event

$$|L_J(\sigma,X)|=\max_j|L_j(\sigma,X)|.$$

Reversing all the steps in (5.1), we therefore obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{T}\int_T^{2T}\log|E(\sigma+it,Q)|dt=&\sum_{j\le J}\frac{1}{T}\int_{S_j(T)}\log|E(\sigma+it,Q)|dt+O\left(\frac{\mathscr{L}^2}{(\log T)^\sigma}\right)\\=&\sum_{j\le J}\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{1}_{\mathscr{I}_j}\cdot\log\left|\sum_{j\le J}c_jL_j(\sigma,X)\right|\right]+O(e^{-b\sqrt{\mathscr{L}}})\qquad(5.23)\\=&\mathcal{M}(\sigma)+O(e^{-b\sqrt{\mathscr{L}}}),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}(\sigma)=\mathbb{E}\left[\log\left|\sum_{j\le J}c_jL_j(\sigma,X)\right|\right].$$

In [7] the second author proved that $\mathcal{M}(\sigma)$ is twice differentiable. We use this to show that

$$N_E(\sigma_1,\sigma_2;T)=-\frac{T}{2\pi}(\mathcal{M}'(\sigma_1)-\mathcal{M}'(\sigma_2))+O(e^{-(b/2)\sqrt{\mathscr{L}}}).\qquad(5.24)$$

Applying Littlewood's lemma in a standard way, we find that

$$\int_\sigma^{\sigma_0}\Big(\sum_{\substack{\beta>u\\ T\le\gamma\le 2T}}1\Big)du=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_T^{2T}\log|E(\sigma+it,Q)|dt-\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_T^{2T}\log|E(\sigma_0+it,Q)|dt+O(\log T),$$

where σ_0 is a large but fixed real number such that $E(s,Q)$ has no zero in $\Re s\ge\sigma_0$. By (5.23)

$$\int_\sigma^{\sigma_0}\Big(\sum_{\substack{\beta>u\\ T\le\gamma\le 2T}}1\Big)du=\frac{T}{2\pi}\mathcal{M}(\sigma)-\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_T^{2T}\log|E(\sigma_0+it,Q)|dt+O(Te^{-b\sqrt{\mathscr{L}}}).$$

Differencing this at σ and $\sigma+h$, with $h>0$ small, we deduce that

$$\frac{1}{h}\int_\sigma^{\sigma+h}\Big(\sum_{\substack{\beta>u\\ T\le\gamma\le 2T}}1\Big)du=\frac{T}{2\pi}\frac{\mathcal{M}(\sigma)-\mathcal{M}(\sigma+h)}{h}+O\Big(\frac{T}{h}e^{-b\sqrt{\mathscr{L}}}\Big).\tag{5.25}$$

Since $\mathcal{M}(\sigma)$ is twice differentiable, we may write this as

$$\frac{1}{h}\int_\sigma^{\sigma+h}\Big(\sum_{\substack{\beta>u\\ T\le\gamma\le 2T}}1\Big)du=-\frac{T}{2\pi}\mathcal{M}'(\sigma)+O\Big(hT+\frac{T}{h}e^{-b\sqrt{\mathscr{L}}}\Big).$$

The integrand is a non increasing function of u, so

$$\sum_{\substack{\beta>\sigma+h\\ T\le\gamma\le 2T}}1\le-\frac{T}{2\pi}\mathcal{M}'(\sigma)+O\Big(hT+\frac{T}{h}e^{-b\sqrt{\mathscr{L}}}\Big)\le\sum_{\substack{\beta>\sigma\\ T\le\gamma\le 2T}}1.$$

On the left-hand side we replace σ by $\sigma-h$ and use $\mathcal{M}'(\sigma-h)=\mathcal{M}'(\sigma)+O(h)$. We then find that

$$\sum_{\substack{\beta>\sigma\\ T\le\gamma\le 2T}}1=-\frac{T}{2\pi}\mathcal{M}'(\sigma)+O\Big(hT+\frac{T}{h}e^{-b\sqrt{\mathscr{L}}}\Big).$$

Taking $h=e^{-\frac{b}{2}\sqrt{\mathscr{L}}}$, we obtain

$$\sum_{\substack{\beta>\sigma\\ T\le\gamma\le 2T}}1=-\frac{T}{2\pi}\mathcal{M}'(\sigma)+O(Te^{-(b/2)\sqrt{\mathscr{L}}}).$$

Equation (5.24) follows easily from this.

References

[1] V. Borchsenius and B. Jessen, *Mean motions and values of the Riemann zeta function*, Acta Math. **80** (1948), 97–166.

[2] H. Davenport and H. Heilbronn, *On the zeros of certain Dirichlet series*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. **11** (1936), 181–185, 307–312.

[3] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, *Analytic number theory*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, 53. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.

[4] A.A. Karatsuba and S.M. Voronin, *The Riemann Zeta-Function*, Berlin, New York: Walter de Gruyter, 1992.

[5] Y. Lee, *The universality theorem for Hecke L-functions*, Math. Z. **271** (2012), 893–909.

[6] Y. Lee, *Zero-density estimates and fractional moments of Hecke L-functions*, J. of Number Theory, **141** (2014), 225–241.

[7] Y. Lee, *On the zeros of Epstein zeta functions*, Forum Math. **26** (2014), 1807–1836.

[8] Y. Lamzouri, S. Lester and M. Radziwiłł, *Discrepancy bounds for the distribution of the Riemann zeta-function and applications*, preprint.

[9] E.C. Titchmarsh, *The theory of the Riemann zeta-function*, 2nd ed., revised by D.R. Heath-brown, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1986.

[10] K.M. Tsang, *The Distribution of the Values of the Riemann Zeta-Function*, Ph. D. Thesis, Princeton University, 1984.

[11] S.M. Voronin, *The zeros of zeta-functions of quadratic forms (in Russian)*, Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova **142** (1976), 135–147.

Department of Mathematics, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY 14627, USA
E-mail address : Steven Gonek (gonek@math.rochester.edu)

Department of Mathematics, Incheon National University,
119 Academy-ro, Yeonsu-gu, Incheon, 22012, Korea
E-mail address : Yoonbok Lee (leeyb@inu.ac.kr, leeyb131@gmail.com)